STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

11	LTI		BA A	•	ΓFR	\sim	г.
ır	4 I I	7E	IVI	۱ı	ırk	u	-

Reg. No.: 2012-47575

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 21, 2012 County: Wayne (82-76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requitelephone hearing was held on May 21, 2013	iest for a hearing. After due notice, a 2, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on
behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Par Human Services (Department) included	ticipants on behalf of the Department of
ISSU	<u>IE</u>
Did the Department properly deny Claima for:	ant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS (OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi	·
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive 	ed benefits for:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

 On May 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to a determination that Claimant was noncompliant with the which is a requirement of receiving FIP benefits 	m,
3. On April 12, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.	
 On April 19, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. 	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	he
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.310 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.	93, ce 01
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (Faprogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Ru 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	is ral ce
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFF The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC 400.105.	₹). ce
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	is
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Huma Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SD program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	an DA
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IV and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant	

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, the Department admitted that February 23, 2012, the alleged date Claimant was noncompliant, was incorrect. The casenotes from the contain no other specific date on which noncompliance occurred. Indeed, for the week of March 18, 2012, Claimant was given a certificate that he participated in the program. Claimant testified that he did not appear for a triage conference for the reason that he received the appointment notice the day before the triage and he did not have a babysitter. Considering all of the evidence taken as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department erred in that it failed to state an actual, specific date on which Claimant was noncompliant, as required by BEM 233A, "Failure to Meet Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency-Related Requirements: FIP," pp. 8-9. This Department policy requires the Department to identify the initial date of noncompliance and all additional dates of noncompliance as well. This requirement enables the customer to provide accurate information for a good cause explanation. This procedure is absent from this case.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
\boxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 Reinstate Claimant's FIP case; Initiate procedures to provide Claimant with retroactive and ongoing FIP benefits at the benefit level to which he is entitled; Initiate procedures to delete all penalties for noncompliance from Claimant's Department record regarding this matter; Initiate procedures to re-enroll Claimant in the

5. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 23, 2012

Date Mailed: May 23, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

