STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

 Docket No.
 2012-47353 HHS

 Case No.
 Image: Case No.

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing	was held on	represer	nted the
Appellant.	, the Appellant, appeared	d and testified.	
Appeals Review Officer, re	presented the Department		Adult
Services Worker ("ASW"), a	and Adul	t Services Supervisor, ap	peared
as witnesses for the Departr	ment.		-

ISSUE

Did the Department properly terminate the Appellant's Home Help Services ("HHS") case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary who had been authorized for Home Help Services.
- 2. The Appellant has been diagnosed with lower back pain, neuropathy, arthritis, diabetes mellitus type II, depression and anxiety.
- 3. The Appellant had been receiving HHS for assistance with the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living ("IADLs") of medication, housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation.
- 4. On the Appellant's doctor completed a DHS 54-A Medical Needs form certifying that the Appellant had a medical need for assistance with shopping, laundry, and housework.
- 5. On Solution, the ASW went to the Appellant's home and completed

an in-home assessment for a review of the Appellant's HHS case. The Appellant's HHS provider was also present. The ASW went over the Activities of Daily Living ("ADLs") and IADLs included in the HHS program. It was reported that the Appellant could complete is own ADLs and sometimes utilized a walker.

- 6. Based on the available information the ASW concluded that the Appellant did not have a medical need for hands on assistance with any ADL.
- 7. On **percent**, the Department sent the Appellant an Advance Action Notice which informed him that effective **between the set of th**
- 8. On the Appellant's doctor wrote a letter certifying that the Appellant had a medical need for assistance with dressing, mobility, meal preparation, shopping, laundry and housework.
- 9. On the Appellant's request for hearing was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 120, 11-1-2011), pages 1-5 of 6 addresses the adult services comprehensive assessment:

INTRODUCTION

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on **all open independent living services cases**. ASCAP, the automated workload management system, provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.
- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transfer-in cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six-month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
 - Use the DHS-26, Authorization to Release Information, when requesting client information from another agency.
 - Use the DHS-1555, Authorization to Release Protected Health Information, if requesting additional medical documentation; see RFF 1555. The form is primarily used for APS cases.
- Follow rules of confidentiality when home help cases have companion APS cases, see SRM 131 Confidentiality.

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities: Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.

Docket No. 2012-47353 HHS Decision and Order

- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication.
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs are assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

HHS payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith

would be eligible to receive assistance with IADLs if the assessment determined a need at a level 3 or greater.

See ASM 121, Functional Assessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rankings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

Time and Task

The specialist will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a **guide**. The RTS can be found in ASCAP under the Payment module, Time and Task screen. When hours exceed the RTS rationale **must** be provided.

An assessment of need, at a ranking of 3 or higher, does not automatically guarantee the maximum allotted time allowed by the reasonable time schedule (RTS). **The specialist must assess each task according to the actual time required for its completion.**

Example: A client needs assistance with cutting up food. The specialist would only pay for the time required to cure the food and not the full amount of time allotted under the RTS for eating.

IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all IADLs except medication. The limits are as follows:

- Five hours/month for shopping
- Six hours/month for light housework
- Seven hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

Proration of IADLs

If the client does not require the maximum allowable hours for IADLs, authorize only the amount of time needed for each task. Assessed hour for IADLs (except medications) must be prorated by **one half** in shared living arrangements where other adults reside in the home, as home help services are **only** for the benefit of the client. **Note:** This does not include situations where others live in adjoined apartments/flats or in a separate home on shared property and there is no shared, common living area.

In shared living arrangements, where it can be **clearly** documented that IADLs for the eligible client are completed separately from others in the home, hours for IADLs do not need to be prorated.

Example: Client has special dietary needs and meals are prepared separately; client is incontinent of bowel and/or bladder and laundry is completed separately; client's shopping is completed separately due to special dietary needs and food is purchased from specialty stores; etc.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, 11-1-2011, Pages 1-5 of 6

Certain services are not covered by HHS. ASM 101 provides a listing of the services not covered by HHS.

Services not Covered by Home Help

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding, teaching or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2).
- Services provided for the benefit of others.
- Services for which a responsible relative is **able** and **available** to provide (such as house cleaning, laundry or shopping).
- Services provided by another resource at the same time (for example, hospitalization, MI-Choice Waiver).
- Transportation See Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 825 for medical transportation policy and procedures.
- Money management such as power of attorney or representative payee.
- Home delivered meals.
- Adult or child day care.
- Recreational activities. (For example, accompanying and/or transporting to the movies, sporting events etc.)

Note: The above list is not all inclusive.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, 11-1-2011, Pages 3-4 of 4.

The Appellant had only been authorized for assistance with the IADLs of medication, housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation.

, the ASW went to the Appellant's home and completed an in-home On assessment for a review of the Appellant's HHS case. The Appellant's HHS provider was also present. The ASW went over the ADLs and IADLs included in the HHS It was reported that the Appellant could complete his own ADLs and program. sometimes utilized a walker. The ASW understood that the Appellant uses the walker outside his home. The ASW also considered DHS 54-A Medical Needs form completed by the Appellant's doctor the , certifying that the Appellant had a medical need for assistance with on shopping, laundry, and housework. The ASW determined that the Appellant's HHS case must be terminated under the new policy because he did not need hands on assistance, functional ranking 3 or greater, with at least one ADL.

The Appellant disagrees with the termination. The Appellant and his representative testified that the Appellant sometimes needs hands on assistance with the ADLs of bathing and dressing. Specifically, the Appellant occasionally needs help getting in/out of the tub and with bending to put on undergarments. The Appellant also has a cane and walker, although he tries not to use these assistive devices particularly outside his home. The Appellant would be at a higher risk for being attacked or victimized if he is seen in the neighborhood requiring use of a cane or walker due to his impairments.

The Appellant submitted a copy

of an DHS-54A Medical Needs form, but the doctor certifies a medical need for assistance with dressing, mobility, meal preparation, shopping, laundry, and housework. (Exhibit 3)

The evidence is insufficient to establish that the Appellant needed hands on assistance with at least one ADL, functional ranking 3 or greater with any ADL, at the time of the determination. The Appellant and his HHS provider did not report any needs for hands on assistance to the ASW during the home visit. The Appellant's physician only certified a medical need for assistance with the IADLs of shopping, laundry, and housework on the DHS 54-A Medical Needs form. The letter from the Appellant's doctor was not available at the time determination and the basis for the doctor's determination to certify of the additional activities is not clear. Accordingly, the ASW properly applied Adult Services Manual policy and took action to terminate the Appellant's HHS case because the Appellant did not require hands on assistance with at least one ADL based on the available information at that time.

As discussed during the telephone hearing proceedings, the new testimony and documentation regarding the Appellant's functional abilities and needs for assistance

Docket No. 2012-47353 HHS Decision and Order

would support a new assessment to determine eligibility for ongoing HHS. A new assessment, including obtaining a new DHS-54A and/or contacting the doctor's office for clarification, would be appropriate. If the Appellant's HHS case has been closed for 90 days, a new application for the HHS program would be required. Adult Services Manual (ASM) 115, 11-1-2011, Page 1 of 3.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly terminated the Appellant's HHS authorization based on the information available at the time of the determination.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

		Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Olga Dazzo, Director
cc:		Michigan Department of Community Health
Date Signed: _		
Date Mailed:	7.11.12	

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant March appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.