STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF.		Issue No: Case No: Hearing Da Benzie Cou		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUD	GE: Kevin Scu	illy		
	HEARING D	ECISION		
This matter is before the unde and MCL 400.37 following of telephone hearing was held of Participants on behalf of Cla Department of Human Service	Claimant's requ on Wednesday, imant included	est for a hearing May 23, 2012, fr . Pa	After due notice, a	
	<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>		
Due to a failure to comply properly ☐ deny Claimant's a benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Prog ☐ Food Assistance Program ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?			y Assistance (SDA)? ment and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judg evidence on the whole record,				
1. Claimant ☐ app ☐CDC.	olied for 🛚 was	receiving: FIF	P ⊠FAP □MA □SDA	
2. Claimant ⊠ wa (DHS-3503).	as 🗌 was no	t provided with a	Verification Checklist	
3. Claimant was Febraury 27, 201	•	submit reque	sted verification by	

4.	On February 28, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.			
5.	On February 28, 2012, the Department sent notice of the \square denial of Claimant's application. \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
6.	On April 23, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges inual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Responsibility 42 USC 601 Agency) adrithrough Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ty and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tober 1, 1996.			
program] is implemented Regulations	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.			
Security Act The Departn	lical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.			
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 are Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			

Date Mailed: May 24, 2012

stated upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Departmen properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application reduced Claimant's benefits.	nt			
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	е			
/s/ Kevin Scull Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Directo Department of Human Service	e or			
Date Signed: May 24, 2012				

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201247443/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

