STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201247403 4003 May 23, 2012 Macomb County DHS #20
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Aren	dt	
HEARING DECI	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrat and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on May 23, 2012, from behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. m Lansing, Michig	After due notice, a
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☑ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received b	enefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	•	sistance (AMP). assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 On April 3, 2012, the Department		
3. On or around April 3, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.		
 On April 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
The SDA program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
Based on the testimony and exhibits presented, I found the Department witnesses to be slightly more credible than the Claimant. The Department witnesses had a clearer grasp of the dates, times and events in question. Based on this finding, I find the Department acted in accordance with the applicable laws and policies in denying the Claimant's application for SDA benefits. The Claimant was provided with a verification checklist and the Claimant did not return the requested verifications in a timely manner. I do believe the Claimant may have asked for bus tickets prior to the due date of the verifications; but at no time requested assistance in obtaining the requested verifications. Therefore, the Department was unable to determine the Claimant's eligibility for SDA benefits and rightfully denied the Claimant's application.		
DECISION AND ORDER		
I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law the Department did act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's SDA decision is AFFIRMED .		
<u>/s/</u>		
Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		

Date Signed: May 24, 2012
Date Mailed: May 24, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/cr

