STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ıΤ		NA /	\T	ΓER	\circ	┏.
ш		пс	IVI	1 I		Ü	г.

Reg. No.: 2012 47064

Issue No.: 3003

Case No.: Hearing Date:

May 17, 2012

County: Oakland Count DHS (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 17, 2012. fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant incl uded the Claimant. Participant s on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)			
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as mater	he competent, material, and substantial rial fact:			
1. Cla imant applied for benefits for:	☑ received benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 			

2. On 1/1/12, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
3. On 2/24/12, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☑ reduction.	
 On April 7, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the)
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	<u></u>
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.310 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.	3, :)1
∑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	•
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc is Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.).
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M C 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	n
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1990. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98	f 6.

and 99. The Department provides services to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, The Claimant requested a hearing becaus eshe believed that the Department did not include all of her medical expenses she had submitted for April 2012 in her FAP budget calculation. A thor ough review of the Food Assistance Medical expenses included in the April FAP budget was made at the hearing and the Department properly included the following expenses: \$99.90 Medic aid Part B Premium, and two recurring made edical expenses in the amount \$36.99 and \$12.49 Exhibt 4 pg 12.

All other medical expenses submitted by the Claim ant since February 2012 were submitted by the Department to demonstrat e which expenses it included for which months. The Claimant did su bmit other expenses for Ap ril 2012 which could not be included in the FAP benefit calculation because the documentation for the expenses did not contain the name of the provider, the Claimant's name, and were not complete as the Claimant had submitted only the stub part of the medical bill she had paid. BEM 554, page 9, provides that a current bill or written statement of the provider showing the amount paid or a written statement by a licensed healt h care provider are s ufficient to verify a medical expense. Based upon the evidence submitted the Department properly excluded these expenses as they had insufficient information.

Lastly, the Claimant believ ed the Department should also include a Medicare cost she incurs in the amount of \$36.99. The Department did not include this expense and had no record of the Claimant submitting for inclusion as a medical expense. Based upon the fact that the verification of this expense had not been submitted, it is determined that the Department could not include it. The Claimant may su bmit verification of this medicare cost to the Department for inclusion in her FAP budget calculation as a medical expense.

Based upon this review it is determined t hat the Department correctly calculated the Claimant's medical expenses for the month of April 2012 and appropriately reduced the FAP benef its as the Claimant's medical expenses were—significantly less than prior months. Exhibit 5. As explained at the hea ring, the Claimant may submit copies of her April 2012 medical expenses and her FAP benefits will be recallulated at that time.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative income, the Department 🔀 properly	Law Judge concludes	•
☐ denied Claimant's application☒ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case		
for: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ⊠ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SI	DA □ CDC.	

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly} \text{did not act properly}.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 22, 2012

Date Mailed: May 22, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

