STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ T	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	2012 4702 3025, 2018, 2001 November 14, 2011						
	County:	Wayne County DHS (55)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Fe	rris							
HEARING DECISION								
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 14, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimaint and her daughter who appeared as a witness and interpreter for the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included, Assistance Payments Worker.								
<u>ISSUE</u>								
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:								
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? 	State Disability A	✓ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS O	F FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		erial, and substantial						
Claimant ☑ applied for benefits ☐ received	d benefits for:							
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☑ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).						

 On 9/1/11 and 9/27/11, the Department	I Claimant's case country for 5 years					
 On 9/27/11, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Reproduce of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. 	resentative (AR)					
 On October 6, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing re						
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
Department policies are contained in the Bridge Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference	` '					
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliati 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to effective October 1, 1996.	on Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, known as the Family Independence et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101					
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [former program] is established by the Food Stamp implemented by the federal regulations contained Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 40400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	Act of 1977, as amended, and is ed in Title 7 of the Code of Federal known as the Family Independence					
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estall Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the The Department of Human Services (formerly Agency) administers the MA program pursuant 400.105.	e Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). known as the Family Independence					
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is est administered by the Department pursuant to MCL						
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program for disabled persons, is established by 2004 P Services (formerly known as the Family Indeper program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Rule 400.3180.	A 344. The Department of Human idence Agency) administers the SDA					

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, The Department is required to determine alien status at application, redetermination and when member adds are completed. BEM 225 page 1.
In order to be eligible as a qualified alien, a person must have resided in the U.S. for at least 5 years since their date of entry. BEM 255, page 8.
In this case the evidence presented at the hearing indicated that the Claimant had only resided in the U.S. since March 2009, and thus did not meet the requirements to be eligible for food assistance.
Additionally, the Claimant applied for medical assistance. The Department denied the Calimant's application for Medicaid because she was not blind, disabled, catetaker of a minor child and not 65 or older. Based on her identification and resident card the Claimant's age is 63 and she is therefore not eligible for Meidicaid. BEM166. The only program the Claimant could be eligible for was the Adult Medical Program, which is closed to new applicants. Thus the Department had no choice but to deny the Claimant's application for medical assitance. The Claimant may reapply for the Adult Medical Program if it is reopened or for Medicaid when she reaches 65 years of age.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🖂 FAP 🖂 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \boxtimes AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>11/16/11</u>

Date Mailed: 11/16/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw



