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## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, May 17, 2012 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and her attorney Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Due to excess assets, did the Department properly $\square$ deny the Claimant's application区 close Claimant's case for:


## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant $\square$ applied for benefits $\boxtimes$ received benefits for: $\square$ Family Independence Program (FIP), $\square$ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP), $\square$ Medical Assistance (MA), $\boxtimes$ Food Assistance Program (FAP).
2. Due to excess assets, on March 5, 2012, the Department $\square$ denied Claimant's application. $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case.
3. On March 5, 2012, the Department sent $\boxtimes$ Claimant $\square$ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the $\square$ denial. $\boxtimes$ closure.
4. On April 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. $\boxtimes$ closure of the case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
$\square$ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
$\square$ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Department failed to establsih that it properly determined the Claimant's countable assets. The Department failed to establish that certain accounts held by the Claimant should be excluded from the FAP asset test as retirement accounts.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department $\square$ properly denied Claimant's application, $\square$ improperly denied Claimant's application, $\square$ properly closed Claimant's case, $\boxtimes$ improperly closed Claimant's case for: $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ MA $\boxtimes$ FAP.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\quad$ did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ MA $\boxtimes$ FAP decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

【 THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) as of April 1, 2012.
2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.
|s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: May 17, 2012
Date Mailed: May 17, 2012
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
KS/tb
cc:


