## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-46151 2021 July 26, 2012 Wayne (82)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. B	Bennane	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on July 26, 2012, from behalf of Claimant included the claimant's A Participants on behalf of the Depar traincluded (FIM).	et for a hearing. Afte om Detroit, Michig	r due notice, a gan. Participants on ntative (AR),
ISSUE		
Due to excess assets, did the Department prop ☐ close Claimant's case for:	perly 🛚 deny the Cl	aimant's app lication
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☑ Medical Assistance (MA)?		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, including the testact:		-
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received	l benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☑ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>		Assistance (AMP).  Assistance (SDA).

2. Due to excess assets, on March 6, 2012, the Department

☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ closed Claimant's case.

3.	On March 6, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On April 6, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
cla	ditionally, at the hearing the department presented adequate docum entation of the imant's assets exceeding \$4,000.00. The documentation was not challenged by the imant's AR, and the amount exceeded the allowed asset limit of \$3,000.00. (BEM 0).
to	possess \$4,704.28 in a account until February 1, 2012, when an evocable funeral agreement was purchased for \$4,000.70.

A new application was filed on February 16, 2012, a nd a hearing request was filed on March 15, 2012. The March 15, 2012, MA application meets the policy asset limit.

The claimant wishes to qualify for MA retroactively but the claimant's assets were above the policy limit of \$3,000.00, until the February 1, 2012, funeral purchase. Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess assets, the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\bowtie$  MA  $\square$  SDA. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA decision is ☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 14, 2012

Date Mailed: August 14, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
   typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

