STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2Issue No.:2Case No.:2Hearing Date:ACounty:V

2012-46047 2006 August 20, 2012

Wayne (82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 20, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant incl uded medical coor dinator for and the company. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included medical coordinator fe.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly \bigotimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:

П	
片	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
 Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia levidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant 🖾 applied for 🗌 was receiving:		SDA CCC.
---	--	----------

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by March 5, 2012.

- On March 29, 2012, the Department
 ☑ denied Claimant's application.
 □ closed Claimant's case.
 - reduced Claimant's benefits .
- 4. On March 14, 2012, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.

 ☐ closure of Claimant's case.

 ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 5. On March 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
 - denial of claimant's application.
 - closure of Claimant's case.
 - reduction of Claimant's benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Ch	ild Develo	opment and	Care (CDC	C) program is	establis her	d by Titles	IVA, IVE
and XX of	the Soc i	al Security	Act, the Ch	ild Care and	I Developm	ent Block	Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the record s hows that the department correct ly addressed and sent the claimant a medical determination checklist on February 23, 2012 with a date of March 5, 2012, for the documents to be returned. Th is was not disputed by the claimant's representatives. The claim ant's representatives argued that although the department correctly sent the documents to the proper address, they were intercepted by someone who did not notify the proper persons at Imper ial Health Care. The department cannot know that the docum ents were not delivered to the proper party or parties. If the address is correct there is a presumption in law that the documents were delivered properly. In fact, testimony verified that the group of the postal service.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

ĺ	$\overline{\bigtriangledown}$	

closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>September 10, 2012</u>

Date Mailed: September 10, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

