# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TI	 1 A A	_	rrp	$\sim$	г.
IN		VIД		ΓER	u	-:

Medical Assistance (MA).

Reg. No.: 2012-45695

Issue No.: 2006

Case No.:

July 30, 2012 Hearing Date: County: Wayne (19)

Child Development and Care (CDC).

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 30, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included cl aimant. Participants on beha If of the De partment of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claim for:	an t's application 🔲 close Claimant's case				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:					
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).				

2.	On February 26, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to the claimant's failure to comply with the Office of Child Support.
3.	On March 20, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On April 6, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through alle 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, all recipients must respond to requests for information needed to establish parentage and child support. At the hearing t he claimant admitted that she had not previously done so, although she was now in compliance. (BEM 255).
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>         □ properly denied Claimant's application         □ properly closed Claimant's case         □ improperly closed Claimant's case</li></ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
An In

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 14, 2012

Date Mailed: August 14, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

#### 2012-45695/MJB

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### MJB/cl

