# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 		_
 <b>TILE</b>		 
THE	N/I /\ I	<i>,</i> , , , ,

Reg. No.: 20124560 Issue No.: 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 10, 2011

County: Wayne (17)

Child Development and Care (CDC).

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

Medical Assistance (MA).

# SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 10, 2011. from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Fig. 8.

# ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
Claimant	☑ received benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).  State Disability Assistance (SDA).				

2.	On October 1, 2011, the Department
3.	On September 23, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.
4.	On September 29, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FAP case, effective October 1, 2011.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

# THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case, effective October 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.
- 2. Initiate issuance of FAP supplements, October 1, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susa C. Buch

Date Signed: 11/16/11

Date Mailed: <u>11/16/11</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### SCB/hw

cc: