STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20124541 Issue No.: 3014 Case No.: Hearing Date: November 17, 2011 Oakland (02) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Bradley

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Diane Dubin, Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application Close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

- Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?
 - Medical Assistance (MA)?
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 \boxtimes applied for benefits for: \square received benefits for: 1. Claimant

<	Family Independence Program (FIP).
<	Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 3. On September 6, 2011, the Department approved the Claimant's application for FAP benefits with a group size of one.
- 4. The Claimant has a group size of four.
- 5. On September 8, 2011, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 notice of the □ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.
- 6. On October 3, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the action taken by the Department related to the September 8, 2011 assistance application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known

as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Claimant offered credible testimony that has a group size of four individuals that should be included in the FAP group. The Department agreed that an error occurred and that the Claimant's FAP benefits should be adjusted to include a group size of four, and further that the Claimant deserves a supplement for the benefits she was eligible and qualified to receive. Based on the foregoing, the Department's calculation of FAP benefits is REVERSED.

With respect to the assistance application for FIP benefits, the undisputed facts are as follows: the Claimant has legal guardianship over her two nieces and each niece receives \$708.00 per month in Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits. The Department policy states that RSDI income is countable as unearned income. BEM 500. Further, the Department policy sets forth the income limit for a group size of 4 as being \$597. RFT 210. Based on the above, it is clear that the monthly household income exceeds the monthly income limit; therefore, the Claimant is ineligible for FIP benefits. Under these facts, the Department's denial of Claimant's application for FIP benefits is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly when it denied FIP benefits \square did not act properly with respect to calculating the FAP benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall reprocess the Claimant's September 8, 2011 application for FAP benefits in accordance with Department policy.

2012-4541/AJB

- 2. The Department shall begin to recalculate the Claimant's FAP benefits based on a group size of four in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for any lost benefits she was eligible and otherwise qualified to receive based on the September 8, 2011 application for FAP benefits in accordance with Department policy.

⁷ Andrea J. Bradley Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/06/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>12/07/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/dj

2012-4541/AJB