STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201245310

Issue No.: 3000

Case No.:

May 9, 2012 Hearing Date: County: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 9, 2012, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim ant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) i ncluded Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On May 1, 2012, the Department:
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On or about May 1, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☑ reduction.
3.	On April 5, 2012, Cla imant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligib	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency f Manual (ERM).
Resp 42 US Agen through	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal onsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tive October 1, 1996.
programple imple Regu Agen	ne Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secu The D	ne Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e cy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.

☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is establ ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rul e 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's March 30, 2012, denial of her State Emergency Reli ef (SER) application and the reduction of her FAP benefits effective May 1, 2012. At the hearing, Claimant acknowled ged that she had reapplied for SER benefits and the application had been approved. She stated that she was satisfied with respect to the Department's actions concerning her SE R application and wis hed to proceed with the hearing concerning the amount of her FAP benefits.

At the hearing, the Department explained that Claimant had been added back as a FAP group member after she had cooperated with her child support reporting obligations, effective April 3, 2012, but her FAP benefits had decreased because of an increase in her income. Claimant conceded that she had been in child support noncompliance until April 3, 2012, but disputed the Department's calculation of her FAP benefits. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: (i) run a consolidated inquiry to determine Claimant's unearned income from unemployment benefits, (ii) begin recalculating Claimant's FAP budget for May 1, 2012, ongoing to correct any improperly bud geted unearned income amounts, (iii) issue supplements for any FAP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive but did not from May 1, 2012, ongoing, and (iv) notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Run a consolidated inquiry to det ermine Claimant's unear ned income from unemployment benefits;
- 2. Begin recalculating Claimant's FAP budget for May 1, 2012, ongoing to correct any improperly budgeted unearned income amounts;
- 3. Issue supplements for any FAP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive but did not from May 1, 2012, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 11, 2012

Date Mailed: May 11, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

ACE/cl

2012-45310/ACE

