STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-45095 3008; 1003 May 9, 2012 Calhoun #21		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	hie			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrate and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, M Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department) in the control of Human	for a hearing. ay 9, 2012, from claiman <u>t. Partic</u>	After due notice, a Lansing, Michigan.		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☒F	TIP ⊠FAP □MA [□SDA □CDC.		
The department received a mass update from the Bridges system that the claimant failed to with OCS.		• • • • •		

3. On March 27, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application

Closed Claimant's case

2012-45095/CGF

	reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to comply with OCS.
4.	On March 27, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On April 4, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges igibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thi	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE of XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 190, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, are program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 of 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 10.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

2012-45095/CGF

Additionally, the department stated that the claimant failed to comply with child support, which resulted in her FIP and FAP cases being closed. The deadline was March 16, 2012. The claimant sent in information on March 15, 2012, which was by the deadline. The information was not sufficient, but the claimant was not contacted and informed that the information that she provided was not sufficient.

The department cannot produce the form that the claimant filled out because it was shredded to maintain confidentiality. However, the claimant is contesting that she said or wrote on the form that the father of the children in question was living in the home. As a result, the department has not met their burden that they followed policy in determining that the claimant failed to cooperate with child support.

The department is ordered to initate a redetermination of the claimant's eligibility for benefits for the contested time period. During the hearing, the CS specialist conducted a telephone interview of the claimant for the required information. After the interview, the claimant agreed to submit copies of the two (2) children's birth certificates and the jail address of the absentee parent.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and FIP.
- 2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.

2012-45095/CGF

3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>5/18/12</u>

Date Mailed: <u>5/21/12</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

