## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

11	LTI		BA A	•	ΓFR	$\sim$	г.
ır	4 I I	7E	IVI	۱ı	ırk	u	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 45085 3008, 2006 May 7, 2012 Wayne County DHS (43)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris						
HEARING DECIS	SION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on May 7, 2012, fro behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Partici Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. m Detroit, Michig pants on behalf o	After due notice, a an. Participants on				
ISSUE						
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:						
I. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.						
2. Claimant was required to submit requested ve	rification by 2/29/	2012 for completion				

3. The Claimant received the redetermination packet dated January 17, 2012 which was scheduled for phone interview February 2, 2012.

of Claimant's redetermination.

- 4. The Claimant was hospitalized from February 2, 2012 through February 19, 2012. Claimant Exhibit 1. the Department was advised that Claimant was hospitalized.
- 5. The Department sent a second redetermination packet on February 13, 2012 with a due date and phone interview date of February 29, 2012.

6. The Claimant did not complete either redetermination forms.

7. On March 1, 2012, (FAP) and April 1, 2012 (MA), the Department denied Claimant's application. Closed Claimant's case. Exhibit 2 and 3. reduced Claimant's benefits. 8. On March 19, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits. 9. On March 30, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015 The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
Additionally, the Department was advised by the Claimant's mother that the Claimant was hospitalized and was unable to complete a redetermination. As a result of this information the Department did not close the Claimant's case and sent another redetermination form to the Claimant on February 13, 2012 with a due date of February 29, 2012. At the time the second redetermination was sent, the Claimant was hospitalized and credibly testified that she was very ill. Claimant Exhibit 1. The Claimant was discharged from the hospital or and did not complete the first redetermination or the second redetermination or contact the Department regarding the status of her case and the redetermination.
The Claimant's testimony that she received the notice of the telephone interview but not the redetermination forms, and that she did not receive the second redetermination is found not credible in light of the fact that the forms were mailed to the Claimant's correct address. The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case the Claimant was notified of the impending closure on March 19 2012 and did not contact the Department to advise that she was out of the hospital and available or seek to complete the redetermination.
The Claimant may reapply for both food assistance and Medical Assistance and request retro active coverage from the date of the application so that her medical bills, if any, are covered and current medical coverage is afforded in light of her medical condition.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul><li></li></ul>

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 15, 2012

Date Mailed: May 15, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 201245085/ LMF

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/hw

