### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2012-44649
Issue No.:	6019
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	July 25, 2012
County:	Oakland (02)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 25, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included cl aimant. Participants on beha If of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly  $\Box$  deny Claiman t's application  $\boxtimes$  close Claimant's case for:

ĺ		1	
i		1	

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
- State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

On January 14, 2012, the Department

 denied Claimant's application
 closed Claimant's case due to lack of need.

The Department did not send a notice of case action deli neating its clos ure of the claimant's CDC.

3. On March 9, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is	established by 42 USC 1315, and is
administered by the Department pursuant to M	ICL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

 $\boxtimes$  The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations. Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing the Department's representative stated that she was not the original department worker on the case in guestion. A ccording to the department's representative, the department failed to send a notice of case action to the claimant when it found that the claimant had guit her job.

The department also testified that the claimant provided the information of her quitting and documented that she had informed the department of her going to school full time.

The Department, subsequently, reinstated the cl aimant's CDC, re lying on h er full-time school attendence as a qualified need for her c ontinuing CDC. According to testimony from both parties, the claimant began to receive CDC again on March 11, 2012.

The department's original attempt to close the claimant's CDC on January 14, 2012 was not accompanied by the notice of case action. Therefore, the closure on January 14, 2012 is void. (BAM 220, pp.3-4)

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly closed Claimant's case

properly denied Claimant's application | improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\boxtimes$  CDC.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.  $\boxtimes$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP AA SDA CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Return to the unsupported closure dat e of January 14, 2012, and reins tate the claimant's CDC from that date, replacing any lost and documented benefits.

Michael J. Bennane

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 9, 2012

Date Mailed: August 9, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

cc: