STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-44555

Issue No.: 5016

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 1, 2012 County: Wayne (82-76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 1, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with energy or utility service(s)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 23, 2012, Claimant applied for SER assistance with energy or utility service. Claimant's past due amount was \$1,291.31.
- 2. On March 2, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant indicating approval for electric in the amount of \$450 after Claimant's copayment of \$65.18 and an approval for heat in the amount of \$450 after Claimant's copayment of \$254.13.
- 3. On March 12, 2012, Claimant paid \$270 to Detroit Edison (DTE).
- 4. On March 12, 2012, Claimant submitted proof of the \$270 in payments made by her to DTE. The Department issued a payment to DTE for \$434.13 for the heat only portion of her SER.

5. On March 29, 2012, the Department received Claimant's hearing request, protesting the Department's failure to pay all of the SER requested.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Department approved \$900 in total SER payments for Claimant based upon her paying a \$319 copayment. The Department, at hearing, was able to confirm Claimant had made all of the required copayments before the deadline. Prior to hearing, the Department only acknowledged a payment in the amount of \$270. Claimant and the Department representative at hearing were, in fact, able to demonstrate that Claimant had made her required copayments by March 15, 2012.

nad made not required objayments by Maron 10, 2012.
Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied improperly denied Claimant's SER application for assistance with energy and utility services.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED $\ \square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
Initiate re-registrering of Claimant's SER application;

2. SER benefits in accordance with the SER notice sent on March 2, 2012.

/ Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 2, 2012

Date Mailed: August 2, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

