

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201244329
Issue No: 2009
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: June 14, 2012
Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, June 14, 2012. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on her behalf.

ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant's MA-P application on February 3, 2012 was denied on March 28, 2012 per BEM 260, with a hearing request on April 3, 2012.
2. Claimant was age 31, with a high school or more education, and unskilled work history (Medical Packet, Page D23).
3. Claimant ended his last employment in October 2009.
4. Claimant alleges disability based on a combination mental impairment (Medical Packet, Page F9).
5. Medical reports state that Claimant on:
 - a. September 7, 2011, had a GAF score of 60 (Medical Packet, Page D17).

- b. February 6, 2012, had well healed ankle fracture with no tibiofibular syndesmosis; and that condition was stable (Medical Packet, Page D6).
 - c. February 16, 2012, is not significantly limited in ability to understand and remember 1 or 2-step instructions, carryout simple 1 of 2-step instructions, perform activities within a scheduled and maintain regular attendance and be punctual within customary tolerances, sustain an ordinary routine without supervision, interact appropriately with the general public, ask simple questions or ask request assistance, maintain socially appropriate behavior and to adhere to basic standards of neatness and cleanliness, be aware of normal hazards and take appropriate precautions, and travel in unfamiliar places or use public transportation; that she is moderately limited in ability to remember locations and work-like procedures, understand and remember detailed instructions, carryout detailed instructions, work in coordination with or proximity to others without being distracted by them, complete a normal workday and worksheet without interruptions from psychologically based symptoms and to perform at a consistent pace without an unreasonable number and length of rest periods, respond appropriate to change in the work setting, and set realistic goals to make plans independently of others; and that there is no evidence of limitations in ability to make simple work-like decisions, get along with coworkers or peers without distracting them or exhibiting behavioral extremes (Medical Packet, Pages D19 and D20).
6. SHRT report dated May 17, 2012 states the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a Social Security listing (Medical Packet, Page F9).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted

or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The Claimant has the burden of proof to establish disability as defined above by the preponderance of the evidence of record and in accordance with the 5 step process below. 20 CFR 416.912(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the

analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Disability is not denied at Step 1. The evidence of record established the Claimant has not been engaged in substantial gainful work since October 2009.

Disability is denied at Step 2. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's significant functional incapacity to perform basic work activities due to a combination severe mental impairment for a one year continuous duration, as defined below.

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
4. Use of judgment;
5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Severe Impairment

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gainful work and the duration criteria (20 CFR 416.920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultimately favorable disability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The medical evidence of record established the Claimant's GAF score of 60 in September 2011. This is considered a non-severe mental impairment with occupational-functioning. DSM-IV (4th edition-revised).

The medical reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic and treatment reports, and do not provide medical assessments of Claimant's mental limitations relative to her functional incapacity to perform basic work activities for one continuous year, as defined above. 20 CFR 416.913(c)(1) and (2). Stated differently, does the combination mental impairment impair the Claimant slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely for one continuous year, as defined above?

The medical evidence of record established a combination non-severe impairment, as defined above. Therefore, a combination severe mental impairment meeting a 1 year continuous duration requirement, as defined above, is not established.

Therefore, disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD**.

/s/
William A. Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 15, 2012

Date Mailed: June 15, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this

201244329/WAS

Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/tb

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the names and email addresses of the recipients listed under the 'cc:' field.