STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:20°Issue No.:50°Case No.:1Hearing Date:OcCounty:Ge

201244048 5012

October 17, 2012 Genesee-02 County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 17, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and and and and and a second (Legal Services). Participants on behalf of Department of Hum an Services (Department) included and and and and a second and

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 14, 2011, Claimant applied for SER assistance.
- 2. On October 20, 2011, t he Department sent notic e of the applic ation denial to Claimant.
- 3. On October 28, 2011, t he Claimant requested a hearing to prot est the October 20, 2011 SER denial.
- 4. On February 14, 2012, a hearing took plac e to address and resolve the Claimant's disagreement with the Department's decisio n to deny the Claimant's October 14, 2011 application for SER.

- 5. On March 9, 2012, ALJ **Department** issued a decision notice f inding against the Department and ordering the Department to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for SER beginning October 14, 2011 and to provide the Claimant with SER assistance if she is entitled.
- 6. At some point in time between March 9, 2012 and March 23, 2012, the Department redetermined the Claimant's eligibility for SER.
- 7. On approximately March 13, 2012, the D epartment sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Claimant was ineligible for SER.
- 8. On March 23, 2012, the Cla imant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's subsequent denial of SER benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MC L 400.10, *et seq* ., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Housing affordability is a c ondition of elig ibility for St ate Emergency Re lief (SER) and applies only to Relocation Services (ERM 303) and Home Ownership Services and Home Repairs (ERM 304). Hous ing affordability does not apply t o other SER services. (ERM 207).

Authorize SER for services only if the SER group has sufficient income to meet ongoing housing expenses. An SER group that cannot afford to pay their ongoing housing costs plus a ny u tility ob ligations will not be a ble to retain their hou sing, even if S ER is authorized. Deny SER if the group does not have sufficient income to meet their total housing obligation. The total housing obligation cannot exceed 75 percent of the group's total net countable income. (ERM 207).

In this case, the Department w as unprepared for the hearing and did not have any information regarding the second SER dete rmination as ordered by ALJ Because I was unable to review the apple icable budgets and was not provided any evidence regarding the subsequent determination, I have no choice but to reverse the Department as I am unable to determine whether or not the Department's actions were in conformity with the applicable laws and polices.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I find the Department im properly denied the Claimant's application for SER.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, bas ed upon the above Findings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a r edetermination as to t he Claimant's eligibi lity for SER beginning October 14, 2011 and issue r etroactive benefits if otherwis e eligible and qualified.

<u>/s/</u>

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 18, 2012

Date Mailed: October 18, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Re Michigan Administrative hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

