STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			•
	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_



Reg. No.: 2012-43834 Issue No.: 1005; 3008 Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 20, 2012

County: Wayne (43)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admin and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requtelephone hearing was held on Sept en Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department)	uest for a hearing. After due notice, a nber 20, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant. Participants on behalf of the
<u>ISS</u>	<u>UE</u>
Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claim □ calculate and process Claimant's benefit	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
<u>FINDINGS</u>	OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1 Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for

1. Old infant 🖂 applied for benefite 🖂 receive	A DOMONIO TOT.
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).
Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	The Department ⊠ denied Claimant's December 16, 2011 application for FAP. In addition, on December 1, 2011, the Department ⊠ closed Claimant's FIP case.
3.	On March 29, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thi	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pre im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 10.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Se rvices (formerly known as the Family Independ ence gency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 10.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is Iministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through alle 400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE at XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibi lity. BAM 105; BAM 130. Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due dat e; see **Timeliness of Verifications** in this item. Use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklis t (VCL), or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification.

In the present case, the Depar tment presented verification checklist details (Exhibit 3), but it did not present the alleged verification checklist for review at the hearing. Without the verification checklist for review, it cannot be determined whether the D epartment properly denied Claimant's FAP application of December 16, 2011.

As to FIP, the Department st ated in its hearing summary t hat Claimant's FIP case was closed due to time limits, but it presented no evidence to support that allegation.

It is also noted that Claimant raised the issue of MA at the hearing, but that issue was not raised in Claimant's hearing request, so it was not addressed at the hearing. In addition, in Claimant's hearing request Claim ant requested another worker, but that issue is beyond the scope of this administrative hearing.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ properly c alculated Claimant's benefits □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly processed and c alculated Claimant's benefits
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
DECISION AND ORDER The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case, effective December 1, 2011.
- 2. Initiate reprocessing of Claimant's December 16, 2011 FAP application.

THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

3. Issue FIP and FAP supplements for any missed or increased payments,

December 1, 2011 and ongoing.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: <u>September 25, 2012</u> Date Mailed: <u>September 25, 2012</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/cl

CC: Wayne County DHS (43)/DHS-1843

S. Burke