STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			•
ı	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-42705 1038 May 2, 2012 Wayne (82-18)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	r	
HEARING DEC	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on May 2, 2012, behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Partic Human Services (Department) included	st for a hearing. from Detroit, Michig	After due notice, a jan. Participants on
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly deny Claimant for:	t's application ⊠ cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received 	benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 On April 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application	jan
3. On March 8, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.	
 On March 26, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. 	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), t Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	the
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.31 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) programmers of the Programmers	93, nce 01
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (Fprogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Fede Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Red 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	is eral nce
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFI The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independen Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and M 400.105.	R). ice
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	is
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Hum Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SI program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	nan DA
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, I' and XX of the Social Security Act. the Child Care and Development Block Grant	

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, BEM 233A, "Failure to Meet Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency-Related Requirements: FIP," requires that the Department notify customers when there is an alleged failure of compliance with the work-first requirements by sending Form 2444, "Notice of Nompliance." BEM 233A requires that the Notice must state the date of the initial noncompliance. BEM 233A, p. 9.

In this case, the Department stated on the Notice of Noncompliance that the initial date
of noncompliance was February 24, 2012. However, there is nothing in the record
establishing any failure of Claimant to participate on that date. Also, there is no
documentation or testimony that she was ever required to do something on that date.
The testimony and documents clearly reflect that this date is simply an administratively
convenient date when the convenient date when the convenient date when the
schedule a triage meeting.

It is found and determined that the Department failed to provide Claimant with adequate notice of the date for which she was required to present good cause evidence to show why she did not participate. Claimant, in this case, was denied the right to prove that she had good cause, because she was not given a date on which there was a requirement to participate.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

 \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP case;
- 2. Initiate procedures to provide Claimant with retroactive supplemental and ongoing benefits at the benefit level to which she is entitled.

3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Jan

Date Signed: May 7, 2012

Date Mailed: May 7, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

