## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEFACIMENT OF HOMAN DERVICES					
IN THE MATTER OF:					
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-42362 2006 August 6, 2012 Wayne (18)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane					
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Admini s and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's reque telephone hearing was held on August 6, 2012 behalf of Claimant included the claimant's Autl Participants on behal (Department) included	est for a hearing. Afte 2, fr om Detroit, Michie horized Representativ f of the Department of FIM.	r due notice, a gan.  Participants on /e (AR), Chris			
ISSU	<u>E</u>				
Did the Departm ent properly $\ igsim$ deny Claimar for:	n t's application ☐ cl	ose Claimant's case			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? .ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as material	he competent, materi al fact:	al, and substantial			
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:					

☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).
☐ Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On January 26, 2012, the Department    denied Claimant's application   closed Claimant's case due to the claimant not having provided the department a form DHS-49.			
3.	On March 19, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.			
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc egency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence gency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.			
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .			
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through alle 400.3180.			
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.			

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the department te stified that it denied the cl aimant's MA disability application because the claimant or his AR had not com pleted and returned a DHS-49, which it testified as to being a mandatory requirement.

The claimant's AR testified that under BAM 815, p. 4, t he completion of a DHS 49 was not a mandatory requirement:

**DHS-49** 

The Medical Examination Report is used to obtain information from a general physical examination unless equivalent information is available in some other form. (BAM 815, p.4).

In the instant case, department policy makes no demand on the completion of a DHS-49 but on the information from it "or in some other form."

Claimant's AR testified that the department was provided information from a physica I performed on the claimant, a consultation report, lab reports, and discharge instructions.

It should be noted that the department personnel were not familiar with the case before it was assigned to them for the hearing, the morning of the hearing. The department testified that the information provided the department was not sent to the Medical Review Team (MRT) for a decision.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative La	nd Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons w Judge concludes that the Department
properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	<ul><li></li></ul>
for:	DA CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions

of Law, and for the reas	sons stated on the record, finds that the Department	
did act properly.	did not act properly.	
,		
Accordingly, the Depart	ment's 🗌 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🔀 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC decisio	n
is ☐ AFFIRMED ☒ RE	EVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Process the application pur suant to policy and forward the information provided the department by the claimant's AR to the MRT for a decision by MRT.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 23, 2012
Date Mailed: August 23, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

