STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-42185 2006, 4003 August 1, 2012 Wayne (82-19)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on August 1, 2012, for behalf of Claimant included Claimant and his girlfrobehalf of the Department of Human Services	for a hearing. rom Detroit, Michigiend,	After due notice, a gan. Participants on Participants on	
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:			
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability A Child Developme		
FINDINGS OF F	ACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received b	enefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	_	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	

2.	On April 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to return redetermination paperwork and attend an in-person interview.
3.	On March 19, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On March 26, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
5.	On April 3, 2012, Claimant contacted the Department and informed the Department he had, in fact, attended the in-person interview scheduled February 2, 2012, and provided requested verifications.
6.	On April 3, 2012, the Department determined that Claimant had, in fact, provided the requested verifications and attended the in-person appointment.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence jency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence pency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.

☑ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
In the instant case the Department sent Claimant review materials and a notice of an inperson appointment regarding his SDA and MA case. The Department testified at hearing that Claimant's case was closed for the failure to return the requested paperwork and for failing to attend the appointment. The Department at hearing acknowledged this was an error and Claimant had returned the paperwork and attended the appointment. The Department testified the review materials had been sent to the Medical Review Team (MRT) for consideration. However, the Department did not reopen and supplement Claimant for the loss of program benefits pending the MRT decision.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate re-opening of Claimant's MA and SDA case;

2. Supplement Claimant for any loss in benefit back to the date of closure, April 1, 2012.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 2, 2012

Date Mailed: August 2, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWo/pf

