## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

11	LTI		BA A	•	ΓFR	$\sim$	г.
ır	4 I I	7E	IVI	۱ı	ırk	u	-



Reg. No.: 20124204 Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 10, 2011

County: Wayne (43)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 10, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise ES.

<u>ISSUE</u>						
Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:</li> </ol>						
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>					

2.	On October 1, 2011, the Department decreased Claimant's benefits due to a policy hange.					
3.	On September 20, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the reduction.					
4.	On September 29, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the reduction.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.						
Adjusted gross income in a household of one is determined by subtracting the standard amount of \$146.00 (RFT 255). Monthly net income for FAP purposes is then determined by subtracting allowable expenses, such as a shelter deduction and medical expenses. BEM 554.						
In the present case, Claimant did not dispute the amounts used by the Department to calculate his net income. Claimant testified at the hearing that he may have current outstanding medical bills or he may incur medical bills. However, the Department did act in accordance with policy with the information it had with regard to Claimant's income and expense as of October 1, 2011. Claimant may submit to the Department medical expenses in the future which expenses may impact his FAP budget.						
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department						
□ properly calculated Claimant's FAP benefits  □ improperly calculated Claimant's FAP benefits.						
DECISION AND ORDER						
of	e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's FAP calculation decision is AFFIRMED  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record						

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/16/11

Date Mailed: <u>11/16/11</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SCB/hw

cc: