## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

3. On March 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application.

closed Claimant's case.
reduced Claimant's benefits.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-41213 2006 July 25, 2012 Wayne (17)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. B	Bennane		
HEARING DE	CISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 25, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on be half of the Dep artment of Human Services (Department) included			
ISSUE			
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rifica properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ clos benefits for:	tion requirements, c se Claimant's case [		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF	FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimo	he competent, mater ony of witnesses, find		
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐	]FIP □FAP ⊠MA [	□SDA □CDC.	
2. Claimant was required to submit requested v	verification by Februa	ary 29, 2012.	

4.	On February 18, 2012, the Department sent notice of the  ☐ denial of Claimant's application.  ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On March 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the  ☐ denial of claimant's application.  ☐ closure of Claimant's case.  ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence plency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, on January 17, 2012, the department sent the claimant a redetermination packet due February 29, 2012. On February 28, 2012, the department received on e page of the redetermination for m and one che ck stub covering a period of one week. The claimant testified that her income and hours worked fluctuatied but admitted that she had never apprised the department of this fluctuation.

The department stated that the information supplied was in adequate for it to make a decision. (BAM 130).

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department   ☐ properly ☐ improperly			
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 9, 2012

Date Mailed: August 9, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

