STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:

Reg No.: 2012-41098 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Hearing Date: June 11, 2012 Oakland County DHS (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Pontiac, Michigan on Monday, June 11, 2012. The Claimant did not appear; however his Authorized Hearing Representative ("AHR"), appear ed on his behalf.

appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant did not participate in the hearing process.
- 2. The Claimant submitt ed an application for public assistance seeking MA-P benefits retroactive to July 2011, on August 25, 2011.
- 3. On February 14, 2012, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 1, pp. 11, 12)
- 4. On February 17, 2012, the Department notified the Clai mant of the MRT determination. (Exhibit 1, pp. 2 10)

2012-41098/CMM

- 5. On March 19, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.
- 6. On May 4, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team ("S HRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- 7. At the time of hearing, the Claim ant was employed as a substitute teacher with gross earnings over \$1,010.00/month.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence e Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridge s Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental im pairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expect ed to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a). The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to esta blish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinica l/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescri bed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related ac tivities o r ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CFR 416.913. An individual's subjective pain com plaints ar e not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disab ility. 20 CF R 416.908; 2 0 CFR 4 16.929(a). Similarly, conclusor y statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

When determining disability, t he federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/ duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effe ctiveness/side effects of any medication t he applic ant takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and (4) the e ffect of the applic ant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3). The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2).

2012-41098/CMM

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1). The fivestep analysis requires the trier of fact to cons ider an individual's current work activit y; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to det ermine whether an individual can perform past relev ant work; and residual functiona I capacity along with vocational factors (i .e. age, education, and work experienc e) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945.

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need to evaluate s ubsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an indi vidual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from step three to step four. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945. Residual f unctional capacity is the most an individual can do d espite the limitations based on all rele vant evidence. 20 CFR 416.945(a)(1). An individual's residual functional capacity ass essment is ev aluated at both steps four and five. 20 CFR 416. 920(a)(4). In determining dis ability, an in dividual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, di sability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416.912(a). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit an in dividual's physica I or mental ability to do basic wor k activities . 20 CFR 416.921(a). An individual is not disabled r egardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the i ndividual is working and the work is a substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i). Substantial gainful activity means work that involves doing significant and productive physical or mental duties and is done (or intended) for pay or profit. 20 CFR 416.910(a)(b). Substantial gainful activity is work activity that is both substantial and gainful. 20 CFR 416.972. Work may be substantial even if it is done on a part-time basis or if an indiv idual does les s, with le ss responsibility, and gets paid less than prior em ployment. 20 CFR 416.972(a). Gainful work activity is work activity that is done for pay or profit. 20 CFR 416.972(b). For 2012, the substantial gainful activity income level was \$1,010.00/month.

In this case, the AHR confi rmed that the Claimant is working as a substitute teacher with gross monthly earnings in excess of \$1,010.00. The Claim ant's gross monthly earnings are in excess of the substantial gainful activity income level established by the Social Security Act. Ultimately, it is found that the Claimant is engaged in a substantial gainful activity and as such, he is found ineligible for disability benefits at Step 1 with no further analysis required.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Claimant not disabled for purposes of the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Colley M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 19, 2012

Date Mailed: June 19, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-41098/CMM

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

