

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-41093  
Issue No.: 2009  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: June 4, 2012  
County: Wayne (82-82)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Robert J. Chavez

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on June 4, 2012, at the Department of Human Services (Department) office in Wayne County, Michigan, District 82. Claimant was represented at hearing by [REDACTED]. The Department was represented by [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Was the denial of claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) benefits for lack of disability correct?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for MA-P on November 1, 2011.
2. On November 22, 2011, the Medical Review Team denied MA-P.
3. On December 5, 2011, claimant was sent a notice of case action.
4. On March 2, 2012, claimant filed for hearing.
5. On May 2, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied MA-P.
6. On June 4, 2012, a hearing was held before the Administrative Law Judge.

7. On January 28, 2010, claimant applied for SSI through the Social Security Administration (SSA).
8. Claimant was denied SSI due to a negative disability determination by an Administrative Law Judge in March 2011.
9. Claimant has been denied disability by the Appeals Council for the SSA on December 13, 2011.
10. Claimant's Medicaid application did not claim an additional impairment or a change or deterioration in her condition that the SSA had not made a determination on.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).


Department policy at BEM 260 states a claimant's SSA determination that disability or blindness does **not** exist for SSI is **final** for MA if the determination was made after 1/1/90 and no further appeals may be made at SSA or the client failed to file an appeal at any step. A determination may proceed however, if the claimant alleges a totally different disabling condition than the condition SSA based its determination on, or an additional impairment(s) or change or deterioration in his/her condition that SSA has **not** made a determination on.

In the present case, Claimant was found by the SSA to be ineligible for RSDI and SSI benefits based upon disability. Claimant testified to this information, and the undersigned is satisfied as to Claimant's credibility. Claimant has no further appeals remaining at the SSA. Therefore, as Claimant has had a final determination of not disabled by the SSA, the Administrative Law Judge must find that the SSA's determination is final for the purposes of the MA-P programs. Therefore, the undersigned finds that Claimant does not meet the Department's definition of disabled for the purposes of MA-P programs.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is not medically disabled for the purposes of the MA programs.

Accordingly, the Department's decision in the above-stated matter is, hereby, AFFIRMED.



**Robert J. Chavez**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 18, 2012

Date Mailed: June 19, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

cc:

