STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

Docket No. 2012-41061 HHS Case No.

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was	held on	. the Appellant,
appeared and testified.		sister, appeared as a witness for the
Appellant.		represented the

, appeared as witnesses for the Department.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly terminate the Appellant's Ho me Help Services ("HHS") case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a who had been authorized for Home Help Services.
- 2. The Appellant has been diagnos ed with (Exhibit 1, pages 10 and 12)
- 3. The Appellant had been receivin g HHS for assistance with the Instrumental Activities of Daily Li ving ("IADLs") of housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparatio n. The Appellant's was his HHS provider. (Exhibit 1, page 14)
- 4. On **Construction** the ASW went to the A ppellant's home and completed an in-home assessment for a review of the Appella nt's HHS case. The Appellant's sister was all so present. The ASW went over the Activities of Daily Living ("ADLs") and IADLs included in the HHS program.

It was reported that the Appellant needs some supervision and reminders for ADLs. (ASW Testimony and Exhibit 1, page 10)

- 5. Based on the available information the ASW concluded that the Appellant did not have a medical need for hands on assistance with any ADL. (ASW Testimony, Exhibit 1, pages 10 and 13)
- 6. On Action Notice which informed him that effective Action the new policy which requires the need for hands on services with at le ast one ADL. (Exhibit 1, pages 6-9)
- 7. On **Contract of the Appellant's request for hearing was received by** the Michigan Administrative Hearing System. (Exhibit 1, pages 4-5)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is established purs uant to Title XIX of t he Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with stat e statute, the Soci al Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the St ate Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive car e in the least restrictive, preferred setti ngs. These activities must be certified by a physic ian and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 120, 11-1- 2011), pages 1-5 of 6 addresses the adult services comprehensive assessment:

INTRODUCTION

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on **all open independent living services cases** . ASCAP, the automated workload managem ent system, provides the format for the comprehensive asses sment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

Docket No. 2012-41061 HHS Decision and Order

- A comprehensive ass essment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with t he client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.
- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transfer-in cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minim ally at the six-month r eview and annual redetermination.
- A releas e of information must be obtained when requesting document ation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
 - Use the DHS-26, Aut horization to Releas e Information, when reques ting client information from another agency.
 - Use the DHS-1555, Authorization to Releas e Protected Health Info rmation, if requesting additional medical documentation; see RFF 1555. The form is primarily used for APS cases.
- Follow rules of confident iality when home help cas es have companion APS c ases, see SRM 131 Confidentiality.

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the c lient's ability to perform the following activities: Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

Docket No. 2012-41061 HHS Decision and Order

- Taking Medication.
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs ar e assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity wit h a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the ac tivity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

HHS payments may only be authorized for needs ass essed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assesse d with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessm ent determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these se rvices are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to rece ive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive as sistance with IADLs if the assessment determined a need at a level 3 or greater.

See ASM 121, Functional A ssessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rank ings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

Time and Task

The specialist will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on in terviews with the client and provider, observation of the clie nt's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RT S) as a **guide**. The RT S can be found in ASCAP under the Payment module, Time and Task screen. When hours exc eed the RT S rationale **must** be provided.

An assessment of need, at a ranking of 3 or higher, does not automatically guarantee the maximum allotted time allowed by the reasonable time schedule (RTS). **The specialist must assess each task according to the actual time required for its completion.**

Example: A client needs assis tance with cutting up food. The specialist would only pay for the time required to cure the food and not the full amount of time allotted under the RTS for eating.

IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all IADLs except medication. The limits are as follows:

- Five hours/month for shopping
- Six hours/month for light housework
- Seven hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

Proration of IADLs

If the client does not require the maximum allowable hours for IADLs, authorize only the amount of time needed for each task. Assessed hour for IADLs (exc ept medications) must be prorated by **one half** in shared living arrangements where other adults reside in the home, as home help services are **only** for the benefit of the client. **Note:** This does not include situations where others live in adjoined apartments/flats or in a separate home on s hared property and there is no shared, common living area.

In shared living arrangements , where it can be **clearly** documented that IADLs for the e ligible client are completed

Docket No. 2012-41061 HHS Decision and Order

separately from others in the home, hours for IADLs do not need to be prorated.

Example: Client has special dietary needs and meals are prepared s eparately; client is incontinent of bowel and/or bladder and laundry is completed separately ted separately; client 's shopping is completed separately due to special dietary needs and food is purchased from specialty stores; etc.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, 11-1-2011, Pages 1-5 of 6

Certain services are not covered by HHS. ASM 101 provides a listing of the services not covered by HHS.

Services not Covered by Home Help

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding, teaching or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2).
- Services provided for the benefit of others.
- Services for which a responsible relative is **able** and **available** to provide (such as house cleaning, laundry or shopping).
- Services provided by another resource at the same time (for example, hospitalization, MI-Choice Waiver).
- Transportation See Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 825 for medical tr ansportation policy and procedures.
- Money management such as power of at torney or representative payee.
- Home delivered meals.
- Adult or child day care.
- Recreational activities . (F or example, accompanying and/or transporting to the movies, sporting events etc.)

Note: The above list is not all inclusive.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, 11-1-2011, Pages 3-4 of 4.

The Appellant had only been authorized for a ssistance with the IADLs of housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation. (Exhibit 1, page 14)

Docket No. 2012-41061 HHS Decision and Order

On the ASW went to the Appellant's home and completed an inhome assessment for a review of the Appellant's HHS case. The Appellant's was also present. The ASW went over the ADLs and IADLs included in the HHS program. It was reported that the Appellant needs some supervision and reminders for ADLs. (ASW Testimony and Exhibit 1, page 10) Accordingly, t he ASW determined that the Appellant's HHS cas e must be termi nated becaus e he did not need hands o n assistance, functional ranking 3 or greater, with at least one ADL.

The Appellant disagrees with the termination and testified t hat he needs help from his sister. (Appellant Testimony) The Appellant's credibly testified that the Appellant needs a lot of help daily. However, the a ssistance she provides with ADLs is supervision, reminding, prompting, and encour aging. The Appellant sees a doctor monthly through (Sister Testimony)

The evidence does not to establish that the Appellant needed hands on assistance with at least one ADL, functional ranking 3 or greater with any ADL. Supervision, reminding, prompting, and enc ouraging woul d be a functional ranking of level 2. While the evidence does support changing the functional rankings from independent, level 1, to verbal assistance, level 2, for ADLs, t he Appellant still wo uld n ot qualify for ongoin g HHS under the new policy. Accordingly, the ASW proper ly applied Adult Services Manual policy and took action to terminate the Appellant's HHS case because the Appellant did not require hands on assist ance with at least one ADL based on the available information.

However, the ASW erred by issuing the Advance Negative Action Notice with a effective date. Adult Services Manual polic y specifies that advance notice is to be issued for reductions, suspensions or terminations and "the effective date of the negative action is ten business days after the date the notice is mailed to the client." (Adult Services Manual (ASM) 150, 11-1-2011, Pages 1-4 , underline added by ALJ.) The proposed reduction to the Appellant's HHS case must be upheld, but it cannot not be effective any earlier than 10 bus iness days from the 2 Advance Negative Action Notice.

The Appellant may w ish to ask Community Mental Health about any programs that cover in home assistance, including supervising, reminding, encouraging and prompting with ADLs.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly terminated the Appellant's HHS authorization based on the information available at the termination the February 2012 asseseses sment, but failed to provide the required 10 businesses day advance notice of the termination.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Department's decision is PAR TIALLY AFFIRMED and PARTIALLY REVERSED. The proposed termination of t he Appellant's HHS case is upheld, but the termination cannot be effective any earlier than 10 business days from the February 13, 2012 Advance Negative Action Notice.

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Olga Dazzo, Director Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Mailed:

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and O rder. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant March appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.