#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

20124097 3000

November 21, 2011 Wayne County DHS (19)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Bradley

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 21, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Family Independence Manager.

#### ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed Claimant's case for benefits for Child Development and Care (CDC).

Whether the Department properly reduced the Claimant's benefits under the Food Assistance Program (FAP) based on the state-wide reduction in the standard utility deduction.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On July 15, 2011, the Department:

denied Claimant's application for benefits

Closed Claimant's case for benefits

reduced Claimant's benefits

	under the following program(s):			
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ⊠ CDC ☐ SER.			
2.	On October 1, 2011, the Department:			
	<ul> <li>denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>			
3. 4.	under the following program(s):			
	$\Box$ FIP $\Box$ FAP $\Box$ MA $\Box$ AMP $\Box$ SDA $\Box$ CDC $\Box$ SER.			
	On September 20, 2011, the Department sent notice to Claimant of the:			
	<ul> <li>☐ denial</li> <li>⊠ closure</li> <li>⊠ reduction.</li> </ul>			

5. On October 10, 2011, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence

Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☑ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action on (1) removing her 13 year old child from CDC benefits and (2) reducing her FAP benefits. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the removal of the Claimant's daughter as being an eligible child for CDC benefits. Consequently, with respect that issue, the Department agreed to do the following: allow the Claimant to submit the information required to establish that the Claimant's 13 year old daughter continues to meet the definition of an eligible child under the Department policy. Specifically, the Claimant will submit a DHS 4575 form to verify that information. The Department will then reinstate that Claimant's CDC benefits retro-active for July 15, 2011 and on-going.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing with respect to the closure of CDC benefits effective July 15, 2011. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

With respect to the reduction of the Claimant's FAP benefits, the evidence and testimony provided confirms that the Claimant is disputing action taken as a result of a change in law and policy, which reduced the standard heat and utility shelter deduction

used in determining Food Assistance Program budgets. There are no other adjudicable issues raised. As there is no right to contest the change in law or policy, the Request for Hearing is DISMISSED.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Department shall abide by the terms of the settlement agreement as set forth above.
- 2. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for any lost CDC benefits she was eligible and otherwise qualified to received but-for the July 15, 2011 case closure.

Andrea J, Bradley Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/7/11

Date Mailed: 12/7/11

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to: Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB / hw

