## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201240478 3008 April 17, 2012 Wayne
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christophe	r S. Saunders	
HEARING DE	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrant MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on April17, 2012, behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Particip Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. from Lansing, Michi	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly  deny Claimai for:	nt's application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for:		
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On March 1, 2012, the Department		

☐ closed Claimant's case

☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimar due to failure to complete the redetermination process.

3.	On January 31, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.		
4.	On March 20, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.		
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.		
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.		
and 199 Th and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		

Date Signed: April 17, 2012
Date Mailed: April 18, 2012

Additionally, at the hearing, the claimant testified that he had brought his redetermination paperwork with him to his redetermination interview but that the paperwork was not accepted and the interview was not completed. The claimant testified that he was at the local office on the date in question and that he signed the attendance log at the department. The department representative checked the sign in log on the date in question and it was confirmed that the claimant signed in on that date. Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge credits the claimant's testimony and finds that he did have the required forms with him on the day in question and that he did in fact attend his redetermination interview. Accordingly, the department should have conducted said interview and should have assisted the claimant with processing the redetermination paperwork.

' '				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative Lav	nd Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons w Judge concludes that the Department			
properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case			
for: 🗌 AMP 🗌 FIP 🖾 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SD	OA CDC.			
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upor of Law, and for the reasons stated on the roll did act properly.   ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION.	DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF ON AND ORDER:			
steps necessary in the redetermination pro the claimant's eligibility as of the date of n be otherwise eligible, the department sl	mination paperwork and complete any other ocess. The department shall then determine egative action and if the claimant is found to hall reinstate benefits back to the date of my past due benefits due and owing that the ve.			
	/s/ Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge			
	For Maura Corrigan, Director			

3

Department of Human Services

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CSS/cr

