STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ιт	ш	ΞΜ	IΛ	ТΤ	D	\mathbf{a}	С.
ш		п	= 17	м		$\overline{}$	u	Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-39994 3002 April 12, 2012 Wayne (82-41)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer			
HEARING DECI	SION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrat and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on April 12, 2012, fr behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Particip Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. om Detroit, Michig	After due notice, a gan. Participants on	
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to excess income, did the Department prope \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's be		laimant's application	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF F	ACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa		rial, and substantial	
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☑ re	eceived benefits fo	r:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		esistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	

2.	On April 1, 2012, the Department
3.	On March 12, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On March 12, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting
	the denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, Claimant gave credible and undisputed testimony that in about August 2009, she advised the Department of the third child's father by submitting the birth certificate for the child with the father's name on the certificate. Also, Claimant receives child support from the child's father.

In addition, the Department failed to present sufficient evidence to establish that Claimant was uncooperative. The Department's evidence of noncooperation consisted of an uncorroborated email from its Office of Child Support. The Department did not present a Notice of Noncooperation to indicate that Claimant was at any time officially determined to be in noncompliance.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate procedures to provide Claimant with retroactive supplemental, and ongoing, FAP benefits for a group of four, at the benefit level to which she is entitled;
- 2. Initiate procedures to ensure that Claimant's file reflects that she is in complete compliance with the child support requirements of the Department.

3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 17, 2012

Date Mailed: April 17, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

