# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-39936

Issue No.:

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 6, 2012

2000

County: Wayne (82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

### SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thursday, September 6, 2012. The Claimant resides in a long-term care facility.

and appeared on behalf of the Claimant. The Claimant was represented by Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Se rvices ("Department") was

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly imposed a divestment penalty?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant resides in a long-term care facility.
- 2. On January 10, 2012, the Department re ceived a Medical Assistance ("MA") application on behalf of the Claimant.
- 3. On January 9, 2009, a \$75, 000.00 promissory note was executed between the Claimant and her son with monthly payments of \$1,984.22 to commence on February 1, 2009.
- On August 2, 2010, a \$17,500.00 land c ontract was executed between the Claimant and her daughter with mont hly payments of \$308.59 beginning September 15, 2010.

- 5. On August 19, 2011, the land cont ract was assigned for the amount of \$10,500.00.
- 6. On September 20, 2011, the long-term care facility receiv ed payme nt of \$10,500.00 as a result of the assignment of the land contract.
- 7. On October 31, 2011, the promisso ry note was assigned for the amount of \$30,100.00.
- 8. On Dece mber 20, 2011, the e long-term care facility received payment of \$30,100.00 as a result of the promissory note assignment.
- 9. On February 27, 2012, the Department notified the Clai mant of the imposition of the divestment penalty.
- 10. On March 8, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Br idges Administ rative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Re ference Tables Manual ("RFT"), and the State Emergency Relief Manual ("ERM").

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Fiederal Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly kinown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, the Department imposed a divestment penalty as the result of two transfers; the first was a \$17,500.00 land c ontract entered into on August 2, 2010, and the second, a \$75,000.00 promissory not e exec uted on January 9, 2009. Eac h instrument was ultimately assigned for fair market value resulting in the exact amount of the assignments being credited to the Claimant's charges at the long-term care facility. During the hearing and after review of the supporting documentation, the Department agreed that divestment had not occurred and agreed to remove the penalty. All parties were amenable to the resolution.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

#### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

Remove, as agreed, the divestment penalty on the Claimant's MA case arising from the promissory note and land contract as discussed above.

Colleen M. Mamelka
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Collein M. Mamilka

Date Signed: September 25, 2012

Date Mailed: September 25, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### CMM/cl

CC:

Wayne County DHS (82)/DHS-1843

C. Mamelka