### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201239695

 Issue No.:
 3008

 Case No.:
 Issue

 Hearing Date:
 April 12, 2012

 County:
 Macomb (20)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Apr il 12, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Hearing Representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the D epartment of Human Services (Department) included

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly  $\Box$  deny Claimant's application  $\boxtimes$  close Claimant's case  $\Box$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 2. Cla imant  $\boxtimes$  was  $\square$  was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).

### 2012-39695/ACE

- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by February 9, 2012.
- 4. On March 1, 2012, the Department

denied Claimant's application

 $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

5. On February 16, 2012, the Department sent notice of the

denial of Claimant's application.

 $\boxtimes$  closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

6. On March 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is established by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known

as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, for FAP cases, the Department must verify income that decreases or stops. BEM 500; BEM 501. In this case, Claiman t notified the Department in January 2012 that her son, a member of her FAP group, had started a part-t ime job. In response, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) on January 30, 2012, requesting the son's last 30 day s of check stubs or earnings statements, an employ er statement, or a Verific ation of Employment (DHS-38) by February 9, 2012. The VCL specified that it sought the last 30 days of income.

Claimant responded to the VCL on Febr uary 7, 2012, by sending the Department a copy of her son's pay stubs dated Februa ry 1, 2012, and Dec ember 2, 2011. The Department testified that becaus e the submitted pay stubs did not cover the thirty-day period requested, it sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action on February 16, 2012, advising her that her FAP case would clos e effective March 1, 2012, because she had failed to verify necessary information.

On February 22, 2012, before t he effective date of cas e closure, Claimant submitted to the Department a copy of her s on's pay stubs dated February 1, 2012, February 15, 2012, December 21, 2011, and No vember 23, 2011. Claimant also indicated that the pay stub for November 17, 2011 to November 30, 2011, was mis sing and provided the manner in which the Department could calculate the amount of the missing check based on the checks provided.

The pay stubs for February 1, 2012 and F eburary 15, 2012, cover ed the period from January 12, 2012, to February 8, 2012. Because the VCL requested pay stubs for a thirty-day period due on Febur ary 9, 2012, the pay stubs covering the period from January 12, 2012 to February 8, 2012, were re sponsive to the Department's request. Because Claimant provided the requested v erification on February 22, 2012, before the negative action effective date of March 1, 2012, the Department should have processed the verification and deleted the negative action. BAM 220. Thus, the Department did not act in accordanc e with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case effective March 1, 2012, for failure to provide requested verifications.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly  $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's case effective March 1, 2012;
- 2. Begin recalculating Claimant's FAP budget;
- 3. Issue supplements for FAP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive but did not from March 1, 2012, ongoing;
- 4. Send Claimant notice in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 16, 2012

Date Mailed: April 16, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

#### 2012-39695/ACE

- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### ACE/cl

