STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-39059 Issue Nos.: 2009, 4031 Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 7, 2012 County: Wayne (82-49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 7, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On December 14, 2011, Claimant applied for MA-P, SDA and retro MA-P.
- On February 2, 2012, the Medical Review Team denied Claimant's request.
- 3. On March 8, 2012, Claimant submitted to the Department a request for hearing.
- The State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant's request.
- Claimant is 32 years old.
- 6. Claimant completed education through the 9th grade.

7.	Claimant has employment experience (last worked November 2010) as a cashier for a childcare worker, through a temporary staffing agency doing packaging and receiving work and general factory work.
8.	Claimant is actively working with janitorial program working 30 hours a week at \$7.40 an hour.
9.	On December 29, 2011, Claimant applied for Social Security benefits. In late February 2012, Claimant testified she received a denial and did not appeal this determination.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	rtment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the es Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Respo 42 US Ageno throug	re Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tive October 1, 1996.
progra imple: Regul Agena	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	
	he Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is sistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
☑ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

During the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge discovered that the Social Security Administration (SSA) had denied the Claimant's application dated December 29, 2011. Claimant failed to appeal this determination. The medical evidence of record does not show any "other" impairments not considered by SSA nor does the record demonstrate objective findings which would show significant worsening of Claimant's condition. Based upon 42 CFR 435.541, SSA has made a final determination. Therefore, a final determination has been made on this matter. Per BEM 260, pp. 2-3, Claimant's MA case is hereby dismissed.

Administrative Hearings' jurisdiction ends when the SSA denies the grant of benefits and an appeal of this determination is not made within 60 days. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge does not retain jurisdiction in this matter and Claimant's request for a hearing regarding MA based on disability is DISMISSED.

Claimant had also applied for and was denied benefits under the SDA program. Claimant was and remains an active participant with the program. The Department policy in BEM 261 on page 1 indicates persons receiving services meet the SDA disability criteria. Therefore, the Department should have processed Claimant's application for SDA with this particular policy citation in mind.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department improperly denied Claimant's SDA application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the did not act properly with regard to SDA.

Accordingly, the Department's SDA decision is REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

As indicated above, Claimant's hearing request regarding MA based upon disability is DISMISSED based upon a final Social Security Determination.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a review of Claimant's application dated December 14, 2011;
- 2. Process the Claimant's request for SDA based upon BEM 261, p. 1;
- 3. Issue benefits if otherwise eligible.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 14, 2012

Date Mailed: June 14, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-39059/JWO

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

