STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

(76)

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.:	20123898 1038
	Hearing Date: County:	November 15, 2011 Wayne County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. B	radley	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reque telephone hearing was held on Novembe Participants on behalf of Claimant includ Participants on behalf of Department of Huma Young, Family Indepedence Manager, Specialist, and	st for a hearing. er 15, 2011, from ed the Claimant, an Services (Departi , Fa	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly $lacksquare$ deny Claimar for:	it's application ⊠ cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant □ applied for benefits □ received	benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 On October 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application	m£
3. On September 11, 2011, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.	
 On October 5, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. 	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	he
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.31 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) prograeffective October 1, 1996.	93, ce 01
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (Faprogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Fede Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Re 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	is ral ce
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFI The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Med 400.105.	R). ce
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	is
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Hum Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SI program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	an DA

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A. All Work Eligible Individuals ("WEI") are required to participate in the development of a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan ("FSSP") unless good cause exists. BEM 228 As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs must engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. BEM 233A The WEI is considered non-compliant for failing or refusing to appear and participate with the Jobs, Education, and Training Program (JET) or other employment service provider. BEM 233A Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 233A The first and second occurrences of non-compliance results in a 3 month FIP closure. BEM 233A The third occurrence results in a 12 month sanction.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a notice of non-compliance, DHS-2444, which must include the date(s) of the noncompliance; the reason the client was determined to be non-compliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A Additionally, a triage must be held within the negative action period. BEM 233A A good cause determination is made during the triage and prior to the negative action effective date. BEM 233A. The Department is required to make a determination as to whether Claimant had good cause for her noncompliance with the JET program based on the best information available to it. BEM 233A. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or the work participation program. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend. BEM 233A.

In this case, the testimony and evidence reveal that a triage was conducted on August 4, 2011 and the Claimant appeared to present her good cause for noncompliance. The Department granted the Claimant a forgiveness and scheduled her to return to the JET program on August 15, 2011. The Claimant testified that she called the Department after the triage and left a message that she had to attend a family member's funeral on August 15, 2011. The Department did not receive the message, however, there was some testimony that different workers were involved and that the message could have reached the wrong person at the Department. The Claimant was therefore deemed a no show on August 15, 2011. Even if the Claimant received an excused absence for August 15, 2011, the credible and unrebutted evidence shows that the Claimant was a no show for the rest of the week. There is no good cause for the Claimant's failure to

appear for the other days of that week, and there is no testimony that an excused absence was sought.

Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 233A. The first and second occurrences of noncompliance result in a three-month FIP closure. BEM 233A. The third occurrence results in a twelve-month sanction. BEM 233A. The goal of The FIP penalty policy is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A. Because the current occurrence of noncompliance was Claimant's second, the Department properly closed her FIP case for three months.

Accordingly, the action taken by the Department is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly.} \int \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Andrea J. Bradley Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Department of numan Services

Date Signed: 12/29/11

Date Mailed: 12/29/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

20123898/AJB

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/hw

