STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-38537

Issue No.: 1000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 25, 2012 County: Oakland (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 25, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:	
 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 	
for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On February 16, 2012, the Department:
	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
	⊠ FIP □ FAP □ MA □ AMP □ SDA □ CDC □ SER.
2.	On February 24, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction.
3.	On February 24, 2012, Claimant fil ed a request for hearing c oncerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligib	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges illity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respo 42 US Agen throug	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal onsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tive October 1, 1996.
progra imple Regu Agen	ne Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secui The E	ne Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence cy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.

☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rul e 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department police ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: Reregister and process the claimant's FIP applic ation of December 8, 2011, and replace any missed benefits. The department will provide transportation to and from JET or excuse the claimant's a ttendance at same, until a physician tells the claimant or the department that she is capable of driving. The claimant, while unable to drive legally due to seizures, can work but transportation is not available where she is located..

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

 Reregister and process t he claimant's FIP application of December 8, 2011, and replace any missed benefits. The department will provide transportation to and from JET or excuse the claimant's attendance at same, until a physician tells the claimant or the department that she is capable of driving.

> Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 28, 2012

Date Mailed: June 28, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehe aring was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/cl

cc: