STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-38524

Issue No.: 5026

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 25, 2012 County: Wayne (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 25, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant and behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with shelter emergency?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 10, 2012, Claimant applied for SER assistance with shelter emergency.
- 2. On February 17, 2012, the Department sent notice of the application denial to Claimant.
- 3. On February 28, 2012, the Department received Claimant's hearing request, protesting the SER denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, Rule

400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).	Department polic	ies	are found in tl	he State
Additionally, the claimant's Landlord is a relative and has stated that he will not evict the claimant. Therefore, the clai mant is not homeless, has not received an eviction notice. (ERM 303).				
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied improperly denied Claimant's SER application for assistance with shelter emergency.				
<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>				
The Administrative Law Judge, based of Law, and for the reasons stated on ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not	the record, finds that	_		Conclusions
Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 28, 2012

Date Mailed: June 28, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

2012-38524/MJB

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 typographical errors mathematical error or other abuse.
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

