STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	2012-38313
Issue No:	1038
Case No:	
Hearing Date:	April 11, 2012
County:	Eaton

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's requests for a hearing received on March 5, 2012, March 12, 2012, and March 23, 2012. All of the hearing request s in volved the same issue. Aft er due notice, a telephone hearin g was held on April 11, 2012. Participants on behalf of Claimant included (Claimant's mother/Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR)). Participants on behal (Department) included (JET-Specialist) and (Family Independence Manager).

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly termi nated and sanctioned Cla imant's Family Independence Progr am (FIP) benefits for nonc ompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department received Claimant's Assistance Application (DHS-1171) for FAP, MA and FIP-SDA on Septem ber 23, 2011. On the D HS-1171, Claimant identified a possible di sability when he noted that has a closed head injury and was unable to work.
- 2. The Department prepared and submitted a medical packet to the Medic al Review Team (MRT).
- 3. On Octob er 27, 2011, Psychologist Roy Meland, D.O., completed a Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment (DHS-49-E) form which

indicated that Claimant was unable to work and needs comprehensiv e rehabilitation to improve function.

- 4. On November 9, 2011, the Department receiv ed Claimant's Medical Needs-JET (DHS-54-E) form prepared by indicated t he following on the DHS-54-E form: (1) Claimant had "cognitive disorder secondary to TBI;" (2) Claimant could not work at his usual occupation (re-training); (3) Claimant could wor k at any job with limitations; ¹ (4) Claimant did not need someone in the home to provide care for him; and (5) answ ered no when asked whether someone on behalf of Claimant cannot engage in work (due to the e xtent of care required).
- 5. Claimant attached Neuropsyc hological Evaluation dated June 10, 2011 to the DHS-54-E form.
- 6. On December 20, 2011, the Depa rtment mailed Claimant a Work Participation Program Appointment Notice (DHS-4785) which s cheduled Claimant's JET appointment for January 3, 2012 at 8:45 a.m.
- 7. On or about December 27, 2011, JET Specialist told Claimant's mother over the telephone that Claimant was not required to attend the JET work participation appointment on January 3, 2012.
- 8. On January 3, 2012, Claimant did not attend the appointment.
- 9. On February 14, 2012, the Depar tment received a Medic al-Social Eligibility Certification (DHS-49-A) where the MRT denie d Claimant's disability application.
- 10. On February 22, 2012, the Departm ent mailed Claimant a Notic e of Case Action (DHS-1605) which denied Claimant 's FIP benefits for the period of 10/16/2011 and ongoing because he "failed to v erify or allow the Department to verify necessary in formation." However, DHS-1605 als o indicated the following specialist comments, "The denial reason isn't that you failed to provide the department wit h verifications ti mely, the denial reason is that we have submitted y our medical records to our medical review team, and it was determined t hat you are capable of performing other work. The medical review team did not find you disabled."
- 11. Claimant submitted multiple hea ring requests on March 5, 2012, March 12, 2012, and Mar ch 23, 2012. In each hearing request, Claimant protested the closure of his FIP benefits.

¹ Dr. Van Buren-Hay noted on the DHS-54-E form the following, "outside of my scope of practice."

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his claim for a ssistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to c ontest a department decis ion affe cting eligibil ity or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an adminis trative hearing to re view the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, P ublic Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public as sistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. BEM 229. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by the department when the client applies for cash assistance. BEM 229. The Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirement s, education and training opportunities, and asses sments are covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application for FIP, when a c lient's reas on for deferral ends, or a member add is requested. BEM 229.

Federal and State laws require each wor k eligible i ndividual (WEI) in the FIP and Refugee Assistance Program (R AP) group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment ent-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. WEIs not referred to the work participation program will particip ate in other activities to overcome barriers so they may eventually be referred to the work participation program or other employment service provider. BEM 230A.

At application, the registration support staff <u>must</u> provide clients with a DHS-619, Jobs and Self-Sufficiency Survey. BEM 229. The Depart ment is required to do the following: (1) make a preliminar y barrier assessment to determine the client's readiness for work

participation program referral ²; (2) identify and provide direct support services a s needed because child care and transportation barriers are common (DHS is responsible and must assist clients who present with c hild care or transportation barriers before requiring work participation pr ogram at tendance; (3) open/edit the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) and enter strength and barrier information identified and addressed during the intake proc ess; (4) tem porarily defer an applicant with identified barriers until the barrier is removed; and (5) temporarily defer an applicant who has identified barriers that require further assessment or verification before a decision about a lengthier deferral is made, such as clients with serious medical problems or disabilities or clients caring for a spouse or child with disabilities.³ BEM 229.

At application, the Department is required to use the Bridges DHS-1538, Work and Self-Sufficiency Rules, to explain all of the follo wing to client s at FIP application for eac h episode of assistance: (1) direct support serv ices opportunities, including transportation and child care required to a ttend orientation; (2) work requirements and reasons why a person may be deferred from the work participation program and work requirements; (3) self-sufficiency requirements; (4) penalties for non-compliance, t he triage and hearing processes and good cause; activity reporting and verification (5) earnings or requirements, including the s emi-annual reporting requirement for families wit h earnings; (6) domestic violence; (7) FIP is limited to a 48 m onth lifetim e limit per individual (See BEM 234, FIP Time Limit); and (8) prohibited use of FIP for gambling, massage parlors, spas, tattoo shops, bail- bond agencies, adult entertainment, cruise ships, other nonessential items or to purchas e lottery tickets, alcohol, or tobacco. BEM 229.

At applicat ion, the Department is required to ensure the client understands his/her responsibility to participate in employment-related activities including, but not limited to, calling bef ore they are unable to attend a meeting or appoint ment and before they become noncompliant. The Depa rtment shall als o coordinate with the client an agreed upon date for attendance at orientation. This will eliminate t he need for multiple assignment dates or appointment changes. BEM 229.

The DHS-1538 must be reviewed and signed by all of the following applicants and member adds: (1) adult members; (2) mi nor parent grantees; (3) deferred and potentially deferred adults; and (4) ineligib le grantees. BEM 229. Policy requires the Department file the original signed copy of the DHS-1538, Work and Self-Sufficiency Rules, in the case record and give a photocopy to the client at the in-person interview or mail the client a copy following a phone interview. BEM 229.

² Policy requires the Department to be alert to indicators that the client or family members suffer from undisclosed or undiagnosed disabilities. Some disabilities diminish the individual's ability to recognize or articulate his/her needs or lim itations. The Department should temporarily defer clients who need further screening or assessment. BEM 229.

³ Clients should not be referred for orientation and the w ork participation program until it is certain that barriers to participat ion such a s lack of child care or transportation have been removed, p ossible reasons for def erral have been assessed and considered, and disabilities have been accommodated. BEM 229.

The Department's computer syst em ("Bridges") automatically denies FIP applicants still pending or creates a record of noncompliance when a member is added or client whose deferral is ending when attendanc e at the work participation program is not entered by the one-stop service center by the 22nd day after the day the work participation program referral is made. Bridges also aut omatically denies FIP when a client fails to continue to participate while the FIP application is pending. Clients can reapply for FIP at any time after their application is denied for failing to appear or participate with the work participation program. BEM 229.

When assigned, clients must engage in and comp ly with all work participat ion program assignments while the FIP app lication is pending. Work participation program engagement is a condition of FIP e ligibility. Failure by a client to participate fully in assigned activities while the FIP applic ation is pending will res ult in denial of FIP benefits. Bridges automatically denies FIP benefits for noncomplianc e while the application is pending. BME 229.

A number of FIP c lients have disabilities or live with a spouse or child(ren) wit h disabilities that may need accommodations to participate in assig ned activities. The needs of persons with disabilities are highly individual and must be considered on a case-by-case basis. DHS must make reas onable efforts to ensure that persons with disability-related needs or limitations will have an effective and m eaningful opportunity to benefit from DHS programs and servic es to the same ex tent as persons without disabilities. Efforts to accommodate person s with disabilities may include modifications to program requirements, or extra help, as ex plained below. Failure to recognize and accommodate disabilities under mines efforts to assist families in achiev ing self-sufficiency. BEM 230A.

A disability that requires reasonable accommodation must be verified by an appropriat e source, such as a doctor, psych ologist, therapist, educator, etc. A client may disclose a disability at any time. Failure to disclos e at an earlier time does not prevent the client from claiming a disability or requesting an accommodation in the future. BEM 230A.

Clients are required to engage in self-sufficiency and family strengthening activities even if they are deferred from work participation program or work activities and may be subject to penalties if they do not participate as required. BEM 230A.

When clients with verified dis abilities are fully participating to their capability, they are counted as fully engaged in meet ing work participation requirements regardless of the hours in which they are engaged, even if they do not meet federal work requirements. BEM 230A.

All WEIs, unless tem porarily deferred, must engage in employm ent that pays at least state minimum wage or participate in employ ment services. WEIs who are temporarily deferred are required to participate in activities that will help them overcome barriers and prepare them for employment or referral to an employment service provider. BEM 230A. Certain clients have particular circumst ances which may make their participation in employ ment and/or self-suffi ciency related activities problematic. Unless otherwise deferred, they must be referred to the work participation program. BEM 230A.

WEIs meeting one of the following criteria are only temporarily not referred to an employment service provider because they may continue to count in Michigan's federal work participation rate. BEM 230A. They are required to participate in activities that will increase t heir full potential, help them overcome barriers and prepar e them for employment or referral to an employment se rvices provider as soon as possible. BEM 230A. If the WEI refuses or fails to provide verification of a deferra I when required, the Department will refer him/her to the work participation program. BEM 230A.

A person with short-term incapacity may be deferred for up to 3 (three) months. BEM 230A. A person with a short-term incapacity is a person with a mental or physic al illness, limitation, or incapac ity expected to last le ss than 3 (three) months whic h prevents participation. BEM 230A. The Department will verify the short-term incapacity and the length of the incapacity using a DHS-54A, Medical Needs, or DHS-54E, Medical Needs - Work Participation Pr ogram, or other written stat ement from an M.D. /D.O. BEM 230A. Then, the Department shall set the medical review date accordingly, but not to exceed t hree months. BEM 230A. Polic y specifically prohibits the Department from advising a client with a short-term incapacity to apply for SSI. BEM 230A.

A person with long-term incapacity, or di sability, may be deferred. BEM 230A. At intake, redetermination or anytime during an ongoing benefit period, when an individual claims to be dis abled or indic ates an inability to participate in work or the work participation program for more than 90 days because of a mental or physical condition, the client should be deferred. BEM 230A. Conditions include medical problems such as mental or physica I injury, illness, impairment or learning disab ilities. BEM 230A. This may include those who have applied for RSDI/SSI. BEM 230A.

Determination of a long term dis ability is a two step proc ess. BEM 230A. The client must fully cooperate with both steps. BEM 230A. Step One: Establishment of **Disability.** Once a client claims a disability he/she must provide DHS with verification of the disability when requested. BEM 230A. The verification must indicate that the disability will last long er than 90 calen dar days. BEM 230A. If the verificat ion is n ot returned, a disability is not established. BEM 230A. The client will be required to fully participate in the work participation program as a mandatory participant. BEM 230A. Step Two: Defining the Disability. For verified disabilities over 90 days, the specialis t must obtain an MRT decision by completing the medical packet. BEM 230A. The client must provide DHS with the required documentation such as the DHS-49 series, medical and/or educational do cumentation needed to define the disab ility. BEM 230A. If the requested verifications, the ca se s hould be placed into client does not provide the closure for failure to provide needed doc umentation; see BAM 815, Medical Determination and O btaining M edical Ev idence. BEM 230A. Po tentially disabled individuals are not sent to the work par ticipation program while waiting for the verification of disability. BEM 230A.

When the Medical Review Team (MRT) dec ision and information is received, the Department must determine what accommodations the client needs to participate in the work participation program. BEM 230A. The person must pursue employment and/or self sufficiency-related activities and t he Department must follow the procedure for accommodating disabilities. BEM 230A.

The Department must serve individuals who are determined work ready or work ready with limitations by the Medical Review Team when the individual cannot be served by the work participation program. BEM 230A . These client shave a mandatory participation status in Bridges. BEM 230A. The Department must assign self-sufficiency activities up to the medically permissible limit of the individual. BEM 230A. The Department should ask the work participation program to provide any test results or other documentation about the client's limitations at the time the client is referred back to DHS. BEM 230A.

When a client is determined by MRT to be work ready with lim itations becomes noncompliant with the work par ticipation program or his/her ass igned activ ities, the Department shall follow the same instruct ions outlined in BEM 233A with regard to noncompliance.

An applicant, recipient or a member add is noncompliant if he or she, without good cause, fails or refuses to do any of t he following: (1) appear and participat e with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider; (2) complete a Family Automated Screening T ool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process; (3) develop a FSSP or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC); (4) comply with activities assigned to on the FSSP; (5) provide legitim ate documentation of work participation; (6) appear for a scheduled appoint ment or meet ing related to assigned activities; (7) participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities; (8) accept a job referral; (9) complete a job application; (10) appear for a job interview.⁴ BEM 233A.

Noncompliance by a WEI while the application is pending results in group ineligibility. A WEI applicant who refused employment without good cause, within 30 days prior to the date of application or while the application is pending must have benefits delayed. BEM 233A. If a WEI applicant refuses suitable employment without good cause while the FIP application is pending (or up to 30 days before the FIP application date), approve FIP benefits no earlier than the pay period follo wing the pay period c ontaining the 30th day after the refusal of employment. A non-WEI who does not complete the FAST within 30 days and t he application is still pending is denied FIP. A good cause determination is not required for applicants wh o are noncompliant prior to FIP c ase opening. If a WEI member add refuses suitable employment without good cause while the FIP member

⁴ The Dep artment will not apply the three m onth, six month or lifetime penalty to ineligible c aretakers, clients def erred for lack of child care and disqualified aliens. Failure to complete a FA ST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provid e requested verification. Clients can reapply at any time. BEM 233A.

add is pending, clos e the FIP EDG for t he minimum number of penalty months. BEM 233A.

The standard of promptness (SOP) begins the date the De partment receives an application/filing form, with min imum required information. The Department must process applications and requests for member adds as quickly as possible, with priority to the earliest application date. BAM 115. For the following programs: FIP, SDA, RAP, CDC, MA and AMP on ly, the Department must certify program approval or denial of the application within 45 days. BAM 115.

In this matter, the Department has violated Department policy in several respects. First, there is no evidence that the Department complied with BEM 229 which directed the Department not to refer an applicant for ori entation and the work participation program until it is c ertain that barriers to parti cipation have been removed and possible reason s for deferral have been assessed and con sidered, and that any disab ilities have been accommodated. BEM 229. In addition, there is no evidence the Department used the Bridges DHS-1538, Work and Self-Sufficiency Rules, to explain to Claimant at application the work require ments and reasons why a pers on may be deferred from the work participation program and work require ments. B EM 229. Moreover, the record does not show that the Department coordinated with Claimant an agreed upon date for attendance at orientation, which would eliminate the need for multiple assignment dates or appointment changes. BEM 229. Instead, the Department, on Decem ber 20, 2011, mailed Claimant a DHS-4785 and unilaterally scheduled Claimant's JET appointment for January 3, 2012 at 8:45 a.m.

The DHS-4785 should not have been sent to Claimant before Claimant's disability could have been considered and/or accommodated. As indicated above, BEM 230A cle arly provides that "potentially disabled individuals are **not** sent to the work participation program while waiting for the verification of disability." (With Emphasis Added). Here, the Depart ment has not show n whether a deferral was considered for Claimant or whether a short-term or long-term disability was properly evaluated. The record in this matter reveals that the Department concedes that Claimant's FIP closure was not based on the failure to return verifications but was based on the alleged inability to establish a disability.

Here, the Department improperly referred Claimant to the JET program. Policy specifically provides t hat "when a client is det ermined by MRT to be work ready with limitations becomes noncompli ant with t he work partici pation program or his/her assigned activities, the Departm ent shall follow the s ame instructions outlined in B EM 233A with regard to noncomplia nce." BEM 233A. Here, the Department failed to follow BEM 233A when it prematurely referred Claimant to JET program.

In addition, the Department did not follow the standard of prom ptness when it first received Claimant's application. Certainly, the application was not processed "as quickly as possible" nor was it even processed wit hin the requisite 45 day time limit. The

application was received on September 23, 2011 and then Claimant was referred to JET almost 3 months later on December 20, 2011.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Ju dge finds that, based on the material and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, the Department did not properly process Claimant's application for FIP and, as a result, did not properly deny Claimant's FIP applic ation. The department did not properly follow policy with regard to the determination of a deferral prior to the referral to the JET program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the De partment improperly processed and, then improperly denied Claimant's FIP application. Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

The Department shall: (1) reinstate Claim ant's FIP benefits; (2) allow Claimant to re-engage with the WF/JET program; and (3) provide Claimant with any past due FIP benefits due and owing prov ided by policy from the date of closure to the date of the MRT denial.

It is SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/</u>

C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 19, 2012 Date Mailed: April 19, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

2012-38313/CAP

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that
 effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/ds

