STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A V .	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

		Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-38120 2006; 3008 April 19, 2012 Wayne (82-17)
ΑĽ	OMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chav	/ez	
	HEARING DECIS	SION	
an pe be	is matter is before the undersigned Administration of MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for rson hearing was held on April 19, 2012, from half of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department)	or a hearing. Aft m Detroit, Michiga . P	er due notice, a in-
	ISSUE		
pro	le to a failure to comply with the verification perly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close nefits for:		
\boxtimes	Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
	FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>	
	e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the idence on the whole record, including testimony	•	•
1.	Claimant \square applied for \boxtimes was receiving: benefits.	∏FIP ⊠FAP ⊠	MA □SDA □CDC
2.	Claimant was required to submit requested ver	ification by Decen	nber 27, 2011.

	n February 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits.
	n February 21, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5. O	n February 29, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges bility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp 42 US Agen 3131	the Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence acy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-1. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ber 1, 1996.
progr imple Regu Agen	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Illations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence acy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 3001-3015
Secu The I	he Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for di as th	he State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance isabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known se Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and 2	he Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of , and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Department sent claimant a verification checklist on December 14, 2011. The checklist only requested "missing check stubs." It gives no indication as to when these alleged missing check stubs are from, or what it would take to satisfy the eligibility requirement. While there are questions as to whether the Department could legitimately request the information in question, or whether the Department should have known claimant's employment situation, the fact remains that "missing checkstubs" does not tell a claimant precisely what is required in order to make an eligibility determination and is impermissably vague. Therefore, the Department's verification request was faulty, and the Department erred when closing claimant's case for failing to respond to a vague request.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \boxtimes improperly
 ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \[\] did act properly \[\] did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\hfill \square$ AFFIRMED $\hfill \square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\hfill \square$ The department is ordered to do the following within 10 days of the date of mailing of this decision and order:
1. Remove the negative action in question and issue any supplemental benefits for which the claimant is otherwise entitled to receive.
WI Jana
Robert J. Chavez Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: April 30, 2012

Department of Human Services

Date Mailed: April 30, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

CC:

