## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

	HEARINGS FOR THE F HUMAN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.:	2012-38097 2009

Hearing Date: August 1, 2012 Wayne (82) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE. WIICHael J. Definalle			
HEARING DE	ECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 1, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included claimant and his Authorized Representative (AR), Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included			
ISSUI	<u> </u>		
Did the Departm ent properly $ igotimes $ deny Claimar for:	nt's application ☐ close Claimant's case		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on televidence on the whole record, finds as materia	·		
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ receive	d benefits for:		
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☒ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li></ul>		

<ol> <li>On July 1, 2011, the Department</li></ol>
<ol> <li>On November 16, 2011, the Department sent</li> <li>☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>On March 6, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the</li></ol>
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98		
and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
Additionally, during the hearing the claimant stated that he could not remember if he was separated from his wife and child when he applied. The claimant's application states he was separated from his wife and children at the time of application, according to the department. The claima nt did not offer testimony that would conflict with this testimony. Therefore, he fail ed to meet the criteria to become a MA recipient. (BE M 105.)		
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
<ul><li>         □ properly denied Claimant's application  □ improperly denied Claimant's application  □ improperly closed Claimant's case  □ improperly closed Claimant's case</li></ul>		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
M		
Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Directo		
Department of Human Services  Date Signed: August 15, 2012		

Date Mailed: August 15, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

