STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201238076Issue No:3015Case No:Hearing Date:Hearing Date:April 5, 2012SSPC WEST COUNTY DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a 3-way telephone hearing was held on April 5, 2012. Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services properly deny Claimant's February 10, 2012 application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 10, 2012 Claimant applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 2. On February 29, 2012, the Department ran a financial eligibility budget to determine Claimant's eligibility. The budget included employment income that Claimant had reported. The budget showed that Claimant's reported income required denial of the application due to excess income. Claimant was sent notice of the determination.
- 3. On March 5, 2012, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

At this hearing Claimant testified that he had reported the income that was used in this eligibility determination. Claimant also testified that he reported the income even though he was not working right at the time of the application but thought he was going to be returning to the job. Claimant requested whether he could receive benefits for February based on his assertion that he was not actually working then. That request is not within the parameters of the Food Assistance Program (FAP) or the jurisdiction of an Administrative Law Judge conducting Department of Human Services' hearings.

A detailed analysis of the evidence presented, applicable Department policies, and reasoning for the decision are contained in the recorded record. During the hearing Claimant was informed of the decision and the reasoning behind the decision.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department of Human Services properly denied Claimant's February 10, 2012 application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **UPHELD**.

<u>/s/</u>

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2012

Date Mailed: April 9, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/tb

CC:

