STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201238058 3015 April 5, 2012 Washtenaw County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arende	t		
HEARING DECIS	<u>ION</u>		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for telephone hearing was held on April 5, 2012 from behalf of Claimant included Participation (Department) included Participation (Department).	or a hearing. Lansing, Michig	After due notice, a	
In addition to disputing the FAP allotment, the Claimant disagreed with the Department's refusal to issue the prior FAP allotment pending the outcome of the hearing. I did not address this issue as it arose after the Claimant requested a hearing and therefore would require a new hearing request in order for it to be addressed.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Developmer	,	
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ICT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the continuous evidence on the whole record, finds as material factors.	•	ial, and substantial	
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ rec	eived benefits for	.	
Food Assistance Program (FAP).	Adult Medical Ass State Disability A Child Developme	,	

201238058/CAA

2.	On March 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3.	On February 27, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On March 1, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

In this case, the Department failed to provide the necessary documentation or testimony necessary to show the Claimant had excess income which resulted in a reduction in FAP benefits. Therefore, I was unable to determine whether the Department acted in accordance with the applicable laws and policies in determining the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I conclude the Department improperly reduced the Claimant's FAP benefits due to excess income.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits beginning March 1, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise qualified and eligible.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 6, 2012
Date Mailed: April 6, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/cr

