STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg No.: 2012-37903

Issue No.: 6019

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 27, 2012 Wayne County DHS (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, June 27, 2012. The Claimant appeared, along with appeared on behalf of the Department of Hum an Servic es ("Department").

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly terminated the Claimant's Child Development & Care ("CDC") benefits effective January 28, 2012?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a CDC recipient.
- 2. On January 17, 2012, the Department se nt a CDC Provider Verification to the Claimant to be submitted by January 27, 2012. (Exhibit 1)
- 3. The CDC Provider Verification was not returned by the due date.

- 4. On January 31, 2012, the Department sent a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant informing her that her CDC be nefits would terminate effective February 26, 2012 based on the failure to identify a valid provider. (Exhibit 2)
- 5. On February 27, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 3)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

OCHOLOGICHO OF LIMI
Department policies are contai ned in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Reference Tables ("RFT").
☐ The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children ("ADC") program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistance Program ("FAP"), formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department, formerly known as the Fami ly Independence Agency, administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et se q., and Mi ch Admin Code, Rules 400.3001 through R 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") The Department of Human Services, form erly known as the Family Independenc eagency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program ("AMP") is established by 42 USC 131 5, and is administered by the Department of Human Services pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance ("SDA") program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 through R 400.3180.
☑ The Child Development and Care ("CDC") program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

The goal of CDC pro gram is to preserve the family unit and to promote its economic independence and self-sufficiency by promoting safe, affor dable, accessible, quality child care for qualified families. BEM 703. The Department may provide payment for child care services for qualifying families when the parent(s)/substitute parent(s) is unavailable to provide the child care be cause of employment, education, and/or because of a health/s ocial condition for which treatment is being received and care is provided by an eligible provider. BEM 703. The client is responsible for obtaining any requested verifications needed to determine eligibility. BEM 702. The client is allowed a full 10 calendar day s from the date verification is requested to provide the requested information. BEM 702. An unlicensed provider's service is ended (in part) if the unlicensed provider has not completed the basic training requirements within four months of enrollment. BEM 704.

In this case, the Claimant's unlicensed prov ider had not completed the basic training requirements for the current fiscal year. As a result, the Department sent a CDC Provider Verification to the Claimant to be submitted by January 27, 2012 to establish a valid provider. During the hearing, both the Claimant and the "provider" acknowledged that in January 2012, the provider was not certified. The provider became certified on May 14, 2012 after completing the required training. Ultimately, under these facts, the Department established it acted in accordance with policy when it terminated the Claimant's CDC case for not having a valid provider. Accordingly, the Department's actions are AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds the Department established it acted in accordance with department policy when it terminated the CI aimant's CDC benefit's effective February 26, 2012.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Colleen M. Mamulka
Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 10, 2012

Date Mailed: July 10, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

