

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 2012-37654  
Issue No.: 3003  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 3, 2012  
County: Wayne-57

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong**

**HEARING DECISION**

In accordance with MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37, and 1999 AC, R 400.903, a hearing was held in this matter on April 3, 2012. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. The Department of Human Services (the Department) was represented by agency personnel.

**ISSUE**

In dispute was whether the Department properly reduced Claimant's benefits for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) based on a corrected shelter expense at mid-certification.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony of witnesses, the Administrative Law Judge, finds as relevant fact:

1. Claimant received benefits for Food Assistance Program (FAP).
2. On February 21, 2012, the Department sent Claimant notice of the reduction.
3. Beginning April 1, 2012, the Department reduced Claimant's benefits due to a corrected rental payment.
4. On March 1, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, contesting the Department's reduction of benefits.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the FAP in accordance with MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACCS, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. Agency policies pertaining to this program are found in the BAM, BEM, and RFT.

Claimant admitted during the hearing that the department used the correct rental payment, in calculating her FAP allotment of \$140.00. Claimant stated she needed her FAP allotment increased back to \$200.00 a month so she could pay her medical bills. The department testified this was the first notice they had that Claimant had medical bills and they would process them accordingly.

As a result, the Department properly reduced Claimant's benefits for FAP.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Vicki L. Armstrong  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/9/12

Date Mailed: 4/9/12

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

VLA/ds

cc:

