## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		$\mathbf{a}$	
IIV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201237632 3003 April 2, 2012 Wayne County DHS (18)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Br	adley			
HEARING DEC	CISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request elephone hearing was held on April 2, 2012, to behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, of Department of Human Services (Department) Supervisor, and Eligibility Special	et for a hearing. from Detroit, Michig . F included	After due notice, a		
ISSUE				
Due to excess income, did the Department prop ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's l		claimant's application		
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF	FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	• •	rial, and substantial		
I. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒	received benefits fo	or:		
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On March 1, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to reported income.
3.	On February 29, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On February 29, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
_	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the proper procedures for calculating a monthly FAP benefit issuance is set forth in BEM 556. The first step begins with calculating the group's monthly gross income. The Department must budget the entire amount of earned and unearned countable income. BEM 550, Gross countable earned income is reduced by a 20% earned income deduction. BEM 550. Every case is allowed the standard deduction shown in RFT 255. The standard deduction is subtracted from the countable monthly income to calculate the group's adjusted gross income.

Relevant to this case, when calculating the countable monthly income, the Department must subtract excess shelter expenses from the Claimant's adjusted gross income to determine net income for FAP eligibility and benefit levels. BEM 554. The Department may only consider medical expenses for group members that are senior, disabled, or a disabled veteran. BEM 554.

In this case, the Department submitted a budget that demonstrated that it considered the Claimant's fluctuating income and allowed for all of the applicable deductions. The Claimant agreed that she had experienced an increase in income based on tips that she received. Based on the documentary evidence, the Department has established that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it decreased the Claimant's FAP benefits effective March 1, 2012.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly}  \text{did not act properly}.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Andrea J. Bradley

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 11, 2012

Date Mailed: April 11, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## AJB/hw

cc: