STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ıΤ		NA /	\T	ΓER	\circ	┏.
ш		пс	IVI	1 I		Ü	Г.

Reg. No.: 2012 37515

Issue No.: Case No.:

June 28, 2012

2006

Hearing Date: County:

Wayne County DHS (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 28, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, (AHR) . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES. **ISSUE** Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for: Family Independence Program (FIP)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)(Disability and retro. ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On October 10, 2011, the Department ightharpoonup denied Claimant's application effective 8/1/11 ightharpoonup closed Claimant's case due to its finding that the Claimant was not blind, disabled, BEM 211.
3.	On 10/10/11, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	The Department did not send the Claimant's authorized hearing representative the October 10, 2011 Notice of Case Action.
5.	The AHR sent the Department numerous requests that the verification checklist regarding the Claimant's application be provided to the AHR and that the status of the application be provided to the AHR. Claimant Exhibit 1.
6.	On March 1, 2012, the Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance
for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Humar
Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA
program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through
Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, in this case the evidence that the Department denied an application for Medical Assistance filed by the Claimant as of August 2011 would tend to support the fact that an August 2011 application for Medical Assistance was received by the Department. The Department did not produce the application at the hearing, nor a case file, and the caseworker who processed the Denial Notice of Case Action did not appear at the hearing. As best can be determined, the Department did not provide notice of the application denial to the Claimant's AHR and no other information regarding the denial was provided. Thus, it could not be determined whether the Claimant was ever sent a verification checklist regarding the application.

Evidence was presented by the AHR which tends to support the fact that an application was filed, including a FED EX tracking notice noting a delivery to the Inkster District office on 8/25/11, as well as a series of faxes to the Department with fax confirmation requesting that a verification checklist be sent to the AHR as none had been received as early as 9/12/11 and referenceing the fact that an application had been filed on August 25, 2011. Three subsequent faxes were sent by the AHR on 10/11/11, 11/2/11 and 11/25/11, all communications were in regard to the August 25, 2011 application (which had already been denied) requesting a status update, and if further verification information was needed. The fact that the AHR kept requesting information and a status update also would indicate that the AHR did not receive a copy of the Notice of Case Action denying the application.

Based upon these circumstances and the documentary evidence and testimony of the witnesses, it is found that the Department improperly denied the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance, as no basis for the denial could be explained, and the Department could also not establish that the AHR was notified of the denial. Therefore, the application must be reinstated and processed to determine eligibility for Medical Assistance and verification, if necessary, of further medical and other information required to determine eligibility. BAM 110.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐	improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case				
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
determine Claimant's eligibility for Medica	IA and shall process the application to all Assistance benefits.				
The Department shall provide copies of a	Il of its correspondence to the Claimant and				

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 13, 2012

to the Claimant's AHR.

Date Mailed: July 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

201237515/ LMF

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

