## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-37075 3015 March 29, 2012 Ionia
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. F.	ahie	
HEARING DEC	ISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ ra and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Ma Participants on behalf of Claimant included the witness. Participants on behalf of Department included ES and F. F.	for a hearing. Afte rch 29, 2012 from L e claimant and his r	r due notice, a .ansing, Michigan. nother, L.Z., as a
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to excess income, did the Department properly $\square$ deny the Claimant's applic ation $\square$ close Claimant's case $\boxtimes$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material factors.	•	al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ r	eceived benefits fo	r:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	_	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

## 2012-37075/CGF

2.	On February 14, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3.	On February 14, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4. (	On February 27, 2012, Cla imant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request ,
	protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective Stober 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence plency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Title program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult a and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claimant received two(2) check from Social Security of \$268 and being budgeted. During the claimants \$550.10. However, only \$268 was redetermination in F ebruary 2010, the department casewo rker discovered that the check for \$550.10 was not being budgeted also. She confirmed and verified with Social Seurity the amount received by the claim ant. A new budget was run resulting in a decrease of the claimant's benefits from \$200 to \$92. The claimant is disabled and will

be submitting his medical expenses so that he can get a medical expense deduction that may result in an increase in his FAP benefits.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department  properly improperly
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's  AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is  AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
<u>/s/</u>
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: April 9, 2012

Date Mailed: April 9, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/ds

CC:

