STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg No.: 2012-36887 Issue No.: 2009, 4031 Case No.: Hearing Date: June 4, 2012 Wayne County DHS (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Detroit, Michigan on Monday, June 4, 2012. The Clai mant did not appear; however her Authoriz ed Hearing Representative,

appeared and testified.

appeared on behalf of

the Department of Human Services ("Department").

During the hearing, t he Department presented verification that the Social Security Administration ("SSA") found the Claimant disabled with a dis ability onset date of April 1, 2012.

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and St ate Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA-P and 1. SDA benefits on September 27, 2011.
- 2. On November 21, 2011, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 1, pp. 8, 9)

- 3. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination on Nov ember 28, 2011. (Exhibit 1, pp. 5, 6)
- 4. On February 24, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 1, p. 2)
- 5. On April 20, 2012, the St ate Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- 6. Subsequently, the SSA found the Claimant disabled with a disability onset date of April 1, 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridge s Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligib ility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A previous ly denied MA appl ication is treated as a pending applic ation when MRT determined the Claim ant was not disabled and subs equently, the SSA det ermines that the Claimant is entitle d to SSI based on his disability/blindness for some, or all, of the time covered by the denied MA application. BEM 260. All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260.

The disability standard for both disability-related MA and SSI is the same. BEM 271. When the SSA determines that a client is not disabled/blind for SSI purposes, the client may appeal that determination at SSA. BEM 260. The SSA App eals Process consists of three steps:

- 1. Reconsideration (if initial application filed prior to October 1, 1999)
- 2. Hearing
- 3. Appeals Council

BEM 260. An SSA de termination becomes final when no further appeals may be made at SSA. BEM 260. Once an SSA's determination that a disability or blindness does not exist becomes final, the MA case must be closed. BEM 260, BEM 271.

In this case, the SSA approved the Claim ant for social s ecurity benefits with the disability o nset date of Apr il 1, 2012. T he De partment te stified that co verage was

activated, to include retroactive benefits, as provided for in policy. Based on the favorable SSA deter mination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge t o discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260 based on the disability onset date of April 1, 2012, retroactive to January 2012. For the period prior to January 12, 2012, based on the final SSA determination (it will not be appealed), the Claimant is found not disabled.

The State Disability Assist ance program, which pr ovides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Depa rtment administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policie s are found in BAM, BEM, and RFT. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a phys ical or menta I impairment which m eets federal SSI dis ability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefit s based on disability or blindness automatically qualifies an individua I as disab led for purposes of the SDA program.

In this case, the Claimant is found disa bled for purposes of the MA-P program; therefore, she is found disabled for purposes of SDA benef it program effective April 1, 2012.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the MA-P and SDA benefit programs based on the SSA determination with a disability onset date of April 2012, retroactive to January 2012.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination on that the Claimant was not disabled for the period from S eptember 2011 through December 2011 is AFFIRMED.
- 2. The Department's determination on that the Claimant was not disabled for the period beginning January 2012 is REVERSED.
- The Department shall in itiate processing of (if not previously done so) the September 27, 2011 applicat ion for the per iod beginning January 2012 (retroactive month) to determine if all other nonmedical c riteria are met and inform the Claimant of the determination in accordance with department policy.

4. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified in accordance with department policy.

Collin M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 13, 2012

Date Mailed: June 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Re Michigan Administrative Hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

