STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

			IA'			

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	20123633 3003 December 14, 2011 Wayne County DHS			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Bradley					
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 14, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly calculate the Claima benefits after Claimant reported a change in earned		ince Program (FAP)			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for telephone hearing was held on December of Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) incluing ISSUE Did the Department properly calculate the Claimant	ve Law Judge purfor a hearing. 14, 2011, from Partided , Elig	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan icipants on behalf of jibility Specialist.			

(18)

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	☑ received benefits for:
	Food As	ndependence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)

- 2. In August of 2011, the Claimant reported a change in her income.
- 3. On September 30, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request seeking an increase in FAP benefits for August and September due to the reported decrease in income.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Claimant offered credible and undisputed testimony that she reported a decrease in work hours and earned income to the Department in August of 2011. The

Department testified that it did not reevaluate the Claimant's case until October of 2011. This was not in accordance with the standard of promptness set forth in the Department policy. Based on the testimony, the Department failed to act in accordance with policy when it did not begin to process the August 2011 change in reported income until October of 2011.

Accordingly, the action taken by the Department is REVERSED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions

of Law, and for the reas ☐ did act properly	ord, finds that the Departme ly.	ent
☐ THE DEPARTMENT	O THE FOLLOWING WITH	IN 10 DAYS OF

1. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for lost benefits that she was eligible and qualified to receive in September of 2011 based on the reported change in income in accordance with Department policy.

Andrea J. Bradley
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/28/11</u>

Date Mailed: 12/28/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

201223633/AJB

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/hw

