## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

ı	N	1	ГΗ	ΙE	М	Δ.	ТΤ	ſΕ	R	O	F٠
ı	ш			_		_				$\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathcal{I}}}$	

HENS

HENS IN	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-3628 3008 November 8, 2011 Wayne				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 8, 2011, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Worker.						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\prod$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\prod$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
Family Independence Program (FIP)?  Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  Medical Assistance (MA)?	_	ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:						
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ⊠ was receiving: ☐l	FIP ⊠FAP □MA	□SDA □CDC.				
Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).						
Claimant was required to submit requested verification by September 19, 2011.						
4 On November 1 2011 the Department						

## 2012-3628/CAP

	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☑ closed Claimant's case</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.</li> </ul>						
5.	On September 19, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.  I closure of Claimant's case.  I reduction of Claimant's benefits.						
6.	On September 29, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.						
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).						
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.						
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015							
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.						
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.						
and 199 The	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.						

Date Mailed: 11/8/11

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130. Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications and DHS staff must assist when necessary. BAM 105. The department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. BAM 130. Should the client indicate a refusal to provide a verification or, conversely, if the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, the department may send the client a negative action notice. BAM 130.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusionstated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge of properly ☐ improperly					
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the abov of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find   ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	•				
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AF reasons stated on the record.	FIRMED REVERSED for the				
	C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				
Date Signed: <u>11/8/11</u>					

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 2012-3628/CAP

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CAP/ds

